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## Classical Markov inequality

Markov's inequality

$$
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{2}{b-a}(\operatorname{deg} P)^{2}\|P\|_{[a, b]}
$$

where $\|f\|_{K}:=\sup _{x \in K}|f(x)|$.

## Classical Markov inequality

Markov's inequality
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\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{2}{b-a}(\operatorname{deg} P)^{2}\|P\|_{[a, b]},
$$

where $\|f\|_{K}:=\sup _{x \in K}|f(x)|$.

Local form of the classical Markov inequality

$$
\left|P^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}(\operatorname{deg} P)^{2}\|P\|_{[x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon]}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \epsilon>0 .
$$

A generalization to several variables of the classical Markov inequality

## Markov type inequality

We say that a compact set $\emptyset \neq E \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ satisfies Markov type inequality (or: is a Markov set) if there exist $\kappa, C>0$ such that, for each polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{\alpha} P\right\|_{E} \leq\left(C(\operatorname{deg} P)^{\kappa}\right)^{|\alpha|}\|P\|_{E} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where

$$
D^{\alpha} P=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} P}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}} \quad \text { and } \quad|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{m}
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## Markov type inequality
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where

$$
D^{\alpha} P=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} P}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}} \quad \text { and } \quad|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{m}
$$

Clearly, by iteration, it is enough to consider in the above definition multi-indices $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|=1$.

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{+}:=\{0,1,2, \ldots\} .
$$
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A generalization to several variables of a local form of the classical Markov inequality

Local Markov inequality of exponent $\sigma$
We say that a compact set $\emptyset \neq E \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ admits a local Markov inequality of exponent $\sigma \geq 1$ if there are constants $C, \rho>0$ such that for all polynomials $P, x \in E$ and $0<\epsilon \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{\alpha} P(x)\right| \leq\left(C \epsilon^{-\sigma}\right)^{|\alpha|}(\operatorname{deg} P)^{\rho|\alpha|}\|P\|_{E \cap B(x, \epsilon)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(x, \epsilon)$ denotes the closed ball of radius $\epsilon$ centered at $x$.
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where $B(x, \epsilon)$ denotes the closed ball of radius $\epsilon$ centered at $x$.

For $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$, the choice $\epsilon=1$ in the above form of local Markov inequality immediately yields

$$
\left\|D^{\alpha} P\right\|_{E} \leq\left(C(\operatorname{deg} P)^{\rho}\right)^{|\alpha|}\|P\|_{E}
$$

i.e. Markov type inequality (1).

## Equivalence of Markov and local Markov inequalities

## Theorem (L.P. Bos and P.D Milman, 1995)

Local Markov inequality (2) is equivalent to Markov inequality (1).
The above theorem is a consequence of Theorem E together with Theorem $B$ of the following paper
L.P. Bos, P.D. Milman, Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Markov type inequalities on subanalytic domains, Geometric and Functional Analysis 5 (1995), 853-923.

## Tangential Markov inequalities

L.P. Bos, N. Levenberg, P. Milman, B.A. Taylor

Tangential Markov inequalities characterize algebraic submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 44 (1995) 115-138.

## Some notations

## Certain subsets of $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

Having fixed the dimension $N$, we define for a natural number $m<N$ and $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{m}$
$\mathcal{P}_{m, \mathbf{d}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{P \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): P(x)=\sum_{\alpha_{1}=0}^{d_{1}} \ldots \sum_{\alpha_{m}=0}^{d_{m}} p_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}}\left(\pi_{m}(x)\right) x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}\right\}$.
Here $\pi_{m}$ is the function on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ defined by

$$
\pi_{m}\left(\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)\right)=\left(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)
$$
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## $\mathcal{P}_{m, \mathbf{d}}$-determining set

We say that $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a $\mathcal{P}_{m, \mathbf{d}}$-determining set if for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_{m, \mathbf{d}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $P_{\mid E}=0$ implies $D^{\alpha} P_{\mid E}=0$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}$.

## Certain algebraic varieties

We will consider algebraic sets $V=V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$ for which there exist a natural number $m<N$ and $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{m}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall_{P \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \exists_{\hat{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{m, \mathrm{~d}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \quad P_{\mid V_{m, \mathrm{~d}}}=\hat{P}_{\mid V_{m, \mathrm{~d}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V$ is a $\mathcal{P}_{m, \mathbf{d}}$-determining set.
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and $V$ is a $\mathcal{P}_{m, \mathbf{d}}$-determining set.

## Example

$V_{2,(1,1)}=\left\{(t, s, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}: t^{2}=1-x^{4}, s^{2}=1-y^{2}\right\}$.

Inspired by the considerations that have been made (see [BK] and [BCCK]) we deal with the following definition

## Markov set and Markov inequality on $V_{m, d}$

Let $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$ be given as before. Suppose that $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$ is nonempty. A compact set $\emptyset \neq E \subset V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$ is said to be a $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$-Markov set if there exist $M, r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{\alpha} P\right\|_{E} \leq M^{|\alpha|}(\operatorname{deg} P)^{r|\alpha|}\|P\|_{E}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_{m, \mathbf{d}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality is called a $V_{m, \mathbf{d}^{-}}$Markov inequality for $E$.
[BK] M. Baran, A. Kowalska, Sets with the Bernstein and generalized Markov properties, Ann. Polon. Math. 111 (3) (2014) 259-270.
[BCCK] L. Białas-Cież, J.P. Calvi, A. Kowalska, Polynomial inequalities on certain algebraic hypersurfaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 459 (2) (2018) 822-838.

Markov set on $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$ : example

$$
V_{2,(1,1)}=\left\{(t, s, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}: t^{2}=1-x^{4}, s^{2}=1-y^{2}\right\}
$$

The compact set $E=\left\{(t, s, x, y) \in V_{2,(1,1)}:(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}\right\}$ is a $V_{2,(1,1)}$-Markov.
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$$
V_{2,(1,1)}=\left\{(t, s, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}: t^{2}=1-x^{4}, s^{2}=1-y^{2}\right\}
$$

The compact set $E=\left\{(t, s, x, y) \in V_{2,(1,1)}:(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}\right\}$ is a $V_{2,(1,1)}$-Markov.

Further examples of $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}-$ Markov sets can be given using the following lemma

## Lemma

Let $\emptyset \neq E$ be a compact subset of $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$. If $\pi_{m}(E)$ is a Markov set (with $A$ and $\eta$ ) and there exist $B, \lambda>0$ (depending only on $E, m$ and $\mathbf{d}$ ) such that for every polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
P= & \sum_{\alpha_{1}=0}^{d_{1}} \ldots \sum_{\alpha_{m}=0}^{d_{m}} p_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}}\left(\pi_{m}(x)\right) x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{m}^{\alpha_{m}} \in \mathcal{P}_{m, \mathbf{d}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\
& \left\|p_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}}\right\|_{\pi_{m}(E)} \leq B(\operatorname{deg} P)^{\lambda}\|P\|_{E}, \quad\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{m, \mathbf{d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $E$ is a $V_{m, d}-$ Markov set.

## Local Markov inequality on $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$

## Local Markov inequality on $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$

Let $\emptyset \neq E$ be a compact subset of $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$. For a fixed $a \in E$ and $\epsilon>0$ let

$$
L_{m}(a, \epsilon)=\left\{x \in V_{m, \mathbf{d}}: \pi_{m}(x) \in B\left(\pi_{m}(a), \epsilon\right)\right\}
$$

where $B\left(\pi_{m}(a), \epsilon\right)$ denotes the closed ball $((N-m)$-dimensional) of radius $\epsilon$ centered at $\pi_{m}(a)$.
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$$

where $B\left(\pi_{m}(a), \epsilon\right)$ denotes the closed ball $((N-m)$-dimensional) of radius $\epsilon$ centered at $\pi_{m}(a)$.

## Local $V_{m, \mathbf{d}^{-}}$-Markov inequality

We say that $E$ admits a local $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$-Markov inequality of exponent $\sigma \geq 1$ if there are constants $C, \rho>0$ (depending only on $E$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{\alpha} P(a)\right| \leq\left(C \epsilon^{-\sigma}\right)^{|\alpha|}(\operatorname{deg} P)^{\rho|\alpha|}\|P\|_{E \cap L_{m}(a, \epsilon)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $a \in E, 0<\epsilon \leq 1, P \in \mathcal{P}_{m, \mathbf{d}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}$.

## Equivalence of $V_{m, \mathbf{d}^{-}}$Markov and local $V_{m, \mathbf{d}^{-}}$Markov

 inequalitiesTheorem
Local $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$-Markov inequality (5) is equivalent to $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$-Markov inequality (4).

## Equivalence of $V_{m, \mathbf{d}^{-}}$Markov and local $V_{m, \mathbf{d}^{-}}$Markov inequalities

## Theorem

Local $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$-Markov inequality (5) is equivalent to $V_{m, \mathbf{d}}$-Markov inequality (4).

The idea of a proof comes from the mentioned paper of Bos and Milman.
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