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## Markov chains

- $K: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ transition matrix

$$
K_{i j} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} K_{i j}=1 \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{S}
$$



- Invariant distribution $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}: \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} K_{i j} \pi_{i}=\pi_{j}$ (i.e., $\pi K=\pi$ ).
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- Invariant distribution $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}: \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} K_{i j} \pi_{i}=\pi_{j}$ (i.e., $\pi K=\pi$ ).
- Continuous-time Markov process ("heat equation")

$$
\frac{d p(t)}{d t}=-p(t) L
$$

where $L=I-K$ is Laplacian. $p(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}$ distribution at time $t$

- Q: How fast does $p(t)$ converge to $\pi$ ?


## Spectral theory / Poincaré inequality

$$
\mathcal{E}(x, y)=\langle x, L y\rangle_{\pi} \quad(\text { "Dirichlet form" })
$$

Spectral gap/Poincaré inequality:

$$
\mathcal{E}(x, x) \geq \lambda\|x\|_{\pi}^{2} \quad \forall x: \mathbf{E}_{\pi}[x]=0 .
$$

Convergence based on spectral gap:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(x(t)) \leq \operatorname{Var}(x(0)) e^{-2 \lambda t}
$$

where

- $x(t)=p(t) / \pi$ density of $p(t)$ wrt $\pi$
- $\operatorname{Var}(x)=\mathbf{E}_{\pi}\left[\left(x-\mathbf{E}_{\pi} x\right)^{2}\right]$


## Functional inequalities

- Logarithmic-Sobolev inequality:

$$
\mathcal{E}(x, x) \geq \alpha \sum_{i} \pi_{i} x_{i}^{2} \log \left(x_{i}^{2}\right) \quad \forall x: \sum_{i} \pi_{i} x_{i}^{2}=1
$$

- Largest $\alpha$ for which this inequality holds is the logarithmic Sobolev constant
- Controls convergence of $p(t)$ to $\pi$ in the relative entropy sense

$$
D(p(t) \| \pi) \leq D(p(0) \| \pi) e^{-4 \alpha t} \text { where } D(p \| q):=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} p_{i} \log \left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)
$$

## Functional inequalities

- Logarithmic-Sobolev inequality:

$$
\mathcal{E}(x, x) \geq \alpha \sum_{i} \pi_{i} x_{i}^{2} \log \left(x_{i}^{2}\right) \quad \forall x: \sum_{i} \pi_{i} x_{i}^{2}=1
$$

- Largest $\alpha$ for which this inequality holds is the logarithmic Sobolev constant
- Controls convergence of $p(t)$ to $\pi$ in the relative entropy sense

$$
D(p(t) \| \pi) \leq D(p(0) \| \pi) e^{-4 \alpha t} \text { where } D(p \| q):=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} p_{i} \log \left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)
$$

- Advantage is that $D(p(0) \| \pi) \ll \operatorname{Var}(x(0))$

Example: if $p(0)=\delta_{i}$ and $\pi=\mathbf{1} /|\mathcal{S}|$ (uniform) then $D(p(0) \| \pi)=\log (|\mathcal{S}|)$ and $\operatorname{Var}(\times(0)) \approx|\mathcal{S}|$

- Compared to $\lambda$ (Poincaré constant), $\alpha$ is much harder to compute


## Computing $\alpha$
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This result shows that $\alpha$ is closely related to the quantity we want to bound, namely the "time to equilbrium" $T_{2}$ (more generally $T_{p}$ ) of the chain $(K, \pi)$. The natural question now is:
can one compute or estimate the constant $\alpha$ ?
Unfortunately, the present answer is that it seems to be a very difficult problem to estimate $\alpha$. To illustrate this point we now present what, in some sense, is the only example of finite Markov chain for which $\alpha$ is known explicitely.
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This talk: Computational method to produce formal lower bounds on $\alpha$

## Log-Sobolev inequality and sums of squares

$$
\mathcal{E}(x, x)-\alpha B(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: S(x)=0
$$

where

- $\mathcal{E}(x, x)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j} \pi_{i} K_{i j}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}$
- $B(x)=\sum_{i} \pi_{i} x_{i}^{2} \log \left(x_{i}^{2}\right)$
- $S(x)=\sum_{i} \pi_{i} x_{i}^{2}-1$.

Main problem: $B(x)$ is not a polynomial.
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Approach: Find $\hat{B}(x)$ polynomial such that $B(x) \leq \hat{B}(x)$ and attempt to prove instead

$$
\mathcal{E}(x, x)-\alpha \hat{B}(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x: S(x)=0
$$

using sums of squares. How to choose $\hat{B}(x)$ ?

## Approach 1: Taylor bound

Simple fact: Let $p_{2 d-1}^{\text {Taylor }}$ be the degree $2 d-1$ Taylor expansion of $t^{2} \log (t)$ at $t=1$. Then

$$
p^{\text {Taylor }}(t) \geq t^{2} \log (t) \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Consequence

$$
\hat{B}(x)=2 \sum_{i} \pi_{i} p^{\text {Taylor }}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq B(x)
$$
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Simple fact: Let $p_{2 d-1}^{\text {Taylor }}$ be the degree $2 d-1$ Taylor expansion of $t^{2} \log (t)$ at $t=1$. Then

$$
p^{\text {Taylor }}(t) \geq t^{2} \log (t) \forall t \geq 0
$$

Consequence

$$
\hat{B}(x)=2 \sum_{i} \pi_{i} p^{\text {Taylor }}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq B(x)
$$

Semidefinite programming lower bound on $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\max _{\hat{\alpha}, s(x), h(x)} & \hat{\alpha} \\
\text { s.t. } & \mathcal{E}(x, x)-2 \hat{\alpha} \sum_{i} \pi_{i} p^{\operatorname{Taylor}}\left(x_{i}\right)=s(x)+h(x)\left(\sum_{i} \pi_{i} x_{i}^{2}-1\right) \\
& s \text { sum of squares, } \operatorname{deg}(s)=2 k \\
& h \text { arbitrary polynomial, } \operatorname{deg}(h)=2 k-2
\end{array}
$$

- Solution of SDP gives formal lower bound on $\alpha$
- Simple approach already gives nontrivial results, e.g., for two-point space


## Approach 2: Searching for the best polynomial bound

- We want the optimization program to search for the best polynomial upper bound on $B(x)$, i.e., we want to solve:

| $\max _{\hat{\alpha}, s(x), h(x), \hat{p}}$ | $\hat{\alpha}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| s.t. | $\mathcal{E}(x, x)-2 \hat{\alpha} \sum_{i} \pi_{i} \hat{p}\left(x_{i}\right)=s(x)+h(x)\left(\sum_{i} \pi_{i} x_{i}^{2}-1\right)$ |
|  | $s$ sum of squares, $\operatorname{deg}(s)=2 k$ |
|  | $h$ arbitrary polynomial, $\operatorname{deg}(h)=2 k-2$ |
|  | $\hat{p}(t) \geq t^{2} \log (t) \forall t \geq 0, \operatorname{deg}(\hat{p})=\ell$. |

- Need a tractable formulation of the convex set

$$
\left\{\hat{p} \in \mathbb{R}[t], \operatorname{deg}(\hat{p})=\ell \text { s.t. } \hat{p}(t) \geq t^{2} \log (t) \forall t>0\right\}
$$

- We use rational approximations of log


## Padé approximations

- The ( $m, n$ ) Padé approximation of $f(t)$ at $t=t_{0}$ is a rational function $P / Q$ with $\operatorname{deg} P=m, \operatorname{deg} Q=n$ so that around $t=t_{0}$

$$
f(t)-P(t) / Q(t)=O\left(\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{m+n+1}\right)
$$



Padé $(4,3)$ vs Taylor of order 7 of $\log$ around $t=1$

## Padé upper bound on log

Proposition: For any integer $m$, the ( $m+1, m$ ) Padé approximant $P_{m} / Q_{m}$ of $\log$ at $t=1$ is an upper bound on log. Furthermore $Q_{m}(t)>0$ for all $t>0$

Thus a sufficient condition for $\hat{p}(t) \geq t^{2} \log (t)$ is $\hat{p} \geq t^{2} P_{m} / Q_{m}$, which we can impose via sum-of-squares as

$$
Q_{m} \hat{P}-t^{2} P_{m} \text { is a sum-of-squares }
$$
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Thus a sufficient condition for $\hat{p}(t) \geq t^{2} \log (t)$ is $\hat{p} \geq t^{2} P_{m} / Q_{m}$, which we can impose via sum-of-squares as

$$
Q_{m} \hat{P}-t^{2} P_{m} \text { is a sum-of-squares }
$$

Theorem: The solution of the following sum-of-squares program is a lower bound on the log-Sobolev constant of $(K, \pi)$ :

| $\max _{\hat{\alpha}, s(x), h(x), \hat{p}}$ | $\hat{\alpha}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| s.t. | $\mathcal{E}(x, x)-2 \hat{\alpha} \sum_{i} \pi_{i} \hat{p}\left(x_{i}\right)=s(x)+h(x)\left(\sum_{i} \pi_{i} x_{i}^{2}-1\right)$ |
|  | $s$ sum of squares, $\operatorname{deg}(s)=2 k$ |
|  | $h$ arbitrary polynomial, $\operatorname{deg}(h)=2 k-2$ |
|  | $Q_{m}(t) \hat{p}(t)-t^{2} P_{m}$ sum-of-squares, $\operatorname{deg}(\hat{p})=\ell$. |

## Implementation

- Formal proofs from floating-point solutions: Semidefinite programs are solved with floating-point arithmetic
$\rightarrow$ To obtain formal proofs, we have to round the solution of the SDP to the rationals, while ensuring exact feasibility, and positivity of the Gram matrix [Peyrl-Parrilo]
- Solve slightly perturbed SDP, and round the solution of the perturbed SDP
- All of this implemented in the Julia language, available at
https://github.com/oisinfaust/LogSobolevRelaxations


## Examples

- Simple walk on the complete graph $K_{n}$
- Exact value known $\alpha=\frac{n-2}{(n-1) \log (n-1)}$ [Diaconis-Saloff-Coste]

| $n$ | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\epsilon_{\text {rel }}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 0.72134751987 | $7.96 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 4 | 0.6068261485 | $4.25 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 5 | 0.541010629 | $2.16 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 6 | 0.497067908 | $7.95 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 7 | 0.46509209 | $2.22 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 8 | 0.44048407 | $5.06 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 9 | 0.4207856 | $1.02 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 10 | 0.4045500 | $1.85 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 11 | 0.3908638 | $3.13 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 12 | 0.3791184 | $5.06 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 13 | 0.3688909 | $7.81 \times 10^{-6}$ |

Using Padé approach with $m=5$

## 3-point stick




## The cycle

- Simple walk on $\mathbb{Z}_{n}: K_{i, i \pm 1}=1 / 2$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
- It is known that $\alpha=\frac{\lambda}{2}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\cos (2 \pi / n))$ for all even $n$ and $n=5$. [Chen-Sheu], [Chen-Liu-Saloff-Coste]
- Open question: is $\alpha=\lambda / 2$ for all odd $n \geq 5$ ?
- We give formal proofs that

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{2}(1-\cos (2 \pi / n)) \quad \forall n \in\{5,7,9, \ldots, 21\}
$$

Several ingredients:

- Relaxation based on the Taylor upper bound of degree 5
- Symmetry reduction reduces SDP from a large block of size $\sim 3 n^{2} / 2$ to smaller blocks of size $\sim 3 n / 2$
- Rounding in $\mathbb{Q}[\cos (2 \pi / n)]$ (instead of just $\mathbb{Q}$ )


## Conclusion

## Paper at arXiv:2101.04988

Open directions

- Fastest Mixing Markov Chain: can use the relaxation to search for a Markov chain with the largest log-Sobolev constant. Compare with Markov chains with largest Poincaré constant [Boyd-Diaconis-Xiao].
- Modified log-Sobolev constant
- Quantum (modified) log-Sobolev constant?

Thank you!

