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# GRAPHICAL GAMES* 

- M. Kearns et al. ${ }^{1}$ (2001)
- Graph and Game
- Vertices/nodes ~ players
- Edges/lines ~ connections btw players to play the game Find the equilibrium configuration/s and analyse ther


## GRAPHICAL GAMES

- Applications
- (Evolutionary) Biology $\rightarrow$ Allen et al. ${ }^{2}$ (2019)
- Economics $\rightarrow$ Leduc et al. ${ }^{3}$ (2017)
- Sociology $\rightarrow$ Eger et al. ${ }^{4}$ (2016)
- Computer Science $\rightarrow$ Cibulka et al. ${ }^{5}$ (2013)


## OUR GAME



# OUR GRAPH/S 



## NE in ladder

## How many NE do we have now? <br> What's best response for Player $i$ ?



- Average payoff when playing
depend on payoff parameters ( $r, p, q, s$ )
- Average payoff when playing B


# NE in ladder 

Given opponent's strategy $s_{-i}$

## - Average payoff when playing $A$ depend on payoff parameters Which payoff is higher? $\rightarrow$ best response <br> - Average payoff when playing B

Further assumptions on payoff parameters in addition to $\begin{gathered}r>p \\ q>s\end{gathered}$
Ladder best response Player $\boldsymbol{i}$
4 cases to define best reponse

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \equiv r-p>0 \\
& y \equiv q-s>0
\end{aligned}
$$

| $x / 2>y$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s_{-i}$ | $s_{i}^{*}$ |
| aaa | b |
| bbb | a |
| aab | b |
| abb | b |
| aa | b |
| bb | a |
| ab | b |


| $x>y>x / 2$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s_{-i}$ | $s_{i}^{*}$ |
| aaa | b |
| bbb | a |
| $\mathrm{a} a \mathrm{~b}$ | b |
| abb | a |
| aa | b |
| bb | a |
| ab | b |


| $2 x>y>x$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s_{-i}$ | $s_{i}^{*}$ |
| aaa | b |
| bbb | a |
| aab | b |
| abb | a |
| aa | b |
| bb | a |
| ab | a |


| $y>2 x$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s_{-i}$ | $s_{i}^{*}$ |
| aaa | b |
| bbb | a |
| aab | a |
| abb | a |
| aa | b |
| bb | a |
| ab | a |

## Best response case 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \equiv r-p>0 \\
& y \equiv q-s>0
\end{aligned}
$$

| $x>y>x / 2$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s_{-i}$ | $s_{i}^{*}$ |
| aaa | b |
| bbb | a |
| aab | b |
| abb | a |
| aa | b |
| bb | a |
| ab | b |



Block 0


Block 2

three NE solutions for ladder with $4 \mathrm{k}=12$ players $\quad(k=3)$




# CASE 1: NE in ladder 

Block 0 Block 1 Block 2 Block 3
© (b)
(b) ©

(b) b
(b) a

(a)

## Best response case 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \equiv r-p>0 \\
& y \equiv q-s>0
\end{aligned}
$$

$r, p, q, s \rightarrow$ payoff parameters

| $x>y>x / 2$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s_{-i}$ | $s_{i}^{*}$ |
| aaa | b |
| bbb | a |
| aab | b |
| abb | a |
| aa | b |
| bb | a |
| ab | b |

rules to at

| $0,1,3$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $0,1,2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0,1,2$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $0,1,3$ |
| 0,3 | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\rightarrow$ | 3,1 |
| 1,2 | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\rightarrow$ | 0,2 |



## CASE 1: NE in ladder

## Example of one solution for case 1 using $k=7$ blocks (28 players)

| 2-choice 2-player game <br> with 2 NE | Define best response | CASE 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + payoff parameters |  |  |$\quad$| All NE solutions are built |
| :---: |
| out of 4 elementary |
| blocks |

# CASE 1: NE in ladder (recap 

## 2-choice 2-player game with 2 NE

+ payoff parameters
Ladder graph


How many NE do we have now?

| Define best response |
| :---: |
| Depending on inequalities btw <br> payoff parameters |
| 2 different cases when |
| we want to count no. NE |



How many NE do we have now?

count how many combinations of blocks given the rules are possible

# CASE 1: NE in ladder 

For simplicity, assume no. players is a multiple of 4 (i. e. $4 k$ )
Total no. NE

$$
N_{. . j}(k) \quad j \in\{0,1,2,3\}
$$

$$
N(k)=N_{.0}(k)+N_{.1}(k)+N_{. .2}(k)+N_{.3}(k)
$$

## using the rules to attach the blocks

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{.0}(k)=N_{.0}(k-1)+N_{. .1}(k-1)+N_{.3}(k-1) \\
& N_{.1}(k)=N_{.0}(k-1)+N_{. .1}(k-1)+N_{. .2}(k-1) \\
& N_{.2}(k)=N_{. .0}(k-1)+N_{. .3}(k-1) \\
& N_{. .3}(k)=N_{. .1}(k-1)+N_{. .2}(k-1)
\end{aligned}
$$


$N(k)=3 N(k-1)-N(k-2)$

$$
N(k)=3 N(k-1)-N(k-2)
$$

golden ratio

$$
N(k)=\alpha \varphi^{2 k}+\beta \varphi^{-2 k}
$$

$$
\varphi=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1,62
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha, \beta \rightarrow \text { initial } \\
& \text { conditions }
\end{aligned}
$$

Total no. NE

$$
N_{\text {ladder }}(4 k)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\varphi^{2 k-1}+\varphi^{-(2 k-1)}\right]
$$

## CASE 1: NE in circular ladder



## CASE 1: NE in circular ladder



We need to count the number of allowed combinations when matching endings:

- $0+\cdots+0 ; 0+\cdots+1 ; 0+\cdots+3$;
- $1+\cdots+0 ; 1+\cdots+1 ; 1+\cdots+2$;
- $2+\cdots+0 ; 2+\cdots+3$
- $3+\cdots+1 ; 3+\cdots+2$


Total no. NE

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(N_{\text {circ }}\right) & =N_{0 . .0}(k)+N_{0 . .1}(k)+N_{0 . .3}(k) \\
& +N_{1 . .0}(k)+N_{1.1}(k)+N_{1 . .2}(k) \\
& +N_{2 . .0}(k)+N_{2 . .3}(k) \\
& +N_{3 . .1}(k)+N_{3.2}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

# CASE 1: NE in circular ladder 

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\text {circ }}(k) & =N_{0.00}(k)+N_{0 . .1}(k)+N_{0 . .3}(k) \\
& +N_{1 . .0}(k)+N_{1.1 .}(k)+N_{1.2}(k) \\
& +N_{2 . .0}(k)+N_{2 . .3}(k) \\
& +N_{3.11}(k)+N_{3 . .2}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

$4 k \rightarrow$ no. players
$k \rightarrow$ no. blocks used

...some technical details $\rightarrow N_{i . . j}(k)+$ initial condifions/solutions


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { golden ratio } \\
\varphi=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1,62
\end{gathered}
$$

# CASE 2: NE in ladder and circular ladder 



+ other rules to attach the blocks

Same procedure as before $\rightarrow$ find same recurrence relation...

## Comparison of results

## Comparison of NE both cases



## CASE 1

$$
N_{l a d d e r}(4 k)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\varphi^{2 k-1}+\varphi^{-(2 k-1)}\right]
$$

$$
N_{\text {circular }}(4 k)=\varphi^{2 k}+\varphi^{-2 k}+2
$$

## CASE 2

$N_{\text {ladder }}(4 k)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\varphi^{2 k}+\varphi^{-(2 k)}\right]$
$N_{\text {circular }}(4 k)=\varphi^{2 k}+\varphi^{-2 k}$

## Comparison of results

Comparison of NE both cases

golden ratio $\varphi=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1,62 \ldots$

## CASE 1

$N_{\text {ladder }}(4 k)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\varphi^{2 k-1}+\varphi^{-(2 k-1)}\right]$
$N_{c i r c u l a r}(4 k)=\varphi^{2 k}+\varphi^{-2 k}+2$

## CASE 2

$N_{\text {ladder }}(4 k)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\varphi^{2 k}+\varphi^{-(2 k)}\right]$
$N_{\text {circular }}(4 k)=\varphi^{2 k}+\varphi^{-2 k}$

## Conclusions

For 2-choice 2-player anti-coordination game and (circular) ladder

- Found explicit analytic formulae for NE
- Golden ratio base of exponential growth
- NE circular > NE ladder
- NE ladder changes with case (relation btw payoff parameters)
- NE circular $\approx$ const regardless of case $\rightarrow$ topology of graph plays a role?


## Further work/open questions

- Are the NE configurations Pareto Optimal?
- What if coordination game, same results?
- Same game but another regular graph
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