Numerical solution of Optimal Transport Problem on graphs

Enrico Facca, Michele Benzi 8th ECM - June 22nd, 2021







$$\begin{cases} \min_{\gamma} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |x - y| d\gamma(x, y) \\ \gamma \in \mathcal{M}^{+}(\Omega \times \Omega) \\ (\pi_{x})_{\#} \gamma = f^{+} dx \\ (\pi_{y})_{\#} \gamma = f^{-} dx \end{cases}$$
 (Kantorovich P.)







 $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{w}) \ f^+, f^-$ two non-negative densities with $\int_{\Omega} f^+ = \int_{\Omega} f^-$



$$\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \boldsymbol{w}) \ \boldsymbol{f^+}, \boldsymbol{f^-} \in \mathbb{R}^n \ge 0$$
 with $\sum \boldsymbol{f^+} = \sum \boldsymbol{f^-}$











 $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \boldsymbol{w}) \ \boldsymbol{f^+}, \boldsymbol{f^-} \in \mathbb{R}^n \ge 0 \text{ with } \sum \boldsymbol{f^+} = \sum \boldsymbol{f^-}$



 $\boldsymbol{E} = \text{Signed incidence matrix} \quad \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{u} = (\boldsymbol{u}_{n^+} - \boldsymbol{u}_{n^-})$ $-\operatorname{div} \approx \boldsymbol{E}^{T} \quad \nabla \approx \boldsymbol{G} = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{w})^{-1} \boldsymbol{E}$ $\min_{\gamma} \sum \sum \mathsf{dist}_{\mathcal{G}}(n_i, n_j) \gamma_{i,j}$ $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \ge 0$ $\mathbf{1}\gamma = \mathbf{f}^{+T}$ (Kantorovich P.) $\gamma 1 = f^{-}$ $= \min_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{E}|} > 0} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) := (\boldsymbol{w} \odot \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \frac{|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\mu})|^2}{2} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{w}}{2} \right\}$ $u(\boldsymbol{\mu}) := \operatorname{sol}: -\boldsymbol{E} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \nabla \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{f}^+ - \boldsymbol{f}^ =W_1(f^+, f^-)$ (Wasserstein 1 distance) =(Distance between non-negative measures on Ω)

 $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \boldsymbol{w}) \ \boldsymbol{f^+}, \boldsymbol{f^-} \in \mathbb{R}^n \ge 0 \text{ with } \sum \boldsymbol{f^+} = \sum \boldsymbol{f^-}$



 $\boldsymbol{E} = \text{Signed incidence matrix} \quad \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{u} = (\boldsymbol{u}_{n^+} - \boldsymbol{u}_{n^-})$ $-\operatorname{div} \approx \boldsymbol{E}^{T} \quad \nabla \approx \boldsymbol{G} = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{w})^{-1} \boldsymbol{E}$ $\left(\min_{\gamma}\sum\sum_{i} \operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{G}}(n_{i}, n_{j})\gamma_{i, j}\right)$ $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \ge 0$ $\mathbf{1}\gamma = \mathbf{f}^{+T}$ (Kantorovich P.) $\gamma 1 = f^{-}$ $= \min_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{E}|} > 0} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) := (\boldsymbol{w} \odot \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \frac{|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\mu})|^2}{2} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{w}}{2} \right\}$ $u(\mu) := \operatorname{sol}: -\boldsymbol{E} \operatorname{diag}(\mu) \nabla \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{f}^+ - \boldsymbol{f}^ =W_1(f^+, f^-)$ (Wasserstein 1 distance) =(Distance between non-negative measures on \mathcal{G})

Wasserstein-1 distance in Inverse Problems

$$\min_{\theta} \|\mathsf{Model}(\theta) - \mathsf{Observ.}\|_{L^2} \qquad \theta = \mathsf{Parameters}$$

Wasserstein-1 distance in Inverse Problems

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{W^1}{(\mathsf{Model}(\theta),\mathsf{Observ.})} \qquad \theta = \mathsf{Parameters}$$



L. Métivier, R. Bros- sier, Q. Mérigot, E. Oudet, J. Virieux, *Measuring the misfit between seismograms using an optimal transport distance: application to full waveform inversion*, Geophysical Journal International, 2016

Wasserstein-1 distance in Inverse Problems

$$\min_{\theta} W^{1}(\mathsf{Model}(\theta), \mathsf{Observ.}) \qquad \theta = \mathsf{Parameters}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial f^{+}} W^{1}(f^{+}, f^{-}) = u(\mu^{*})$$



L. Métivier, R. Bros- sier, Q. Mérigot, E. Oudet, J. Virieux, *Measuring the misfit between seismograms using an optimal transport distance: application to full waveform inversion*, Geophysical Journal International, 2016

Reimannian Manifold (M, g)

 $\partial_t g = -\operatorname{Ricci}(g)$



Ni, CC., Lin, YY., Luo, F. et al. Community Detection on Networks with Ricci Flow. Sci Rep 9, (2019).

Reimannian Manifold (M, g)

 $\partial_t g = -\operatorname{Ricci}(g)$

Weighted Graph($\mathcal{G}, \boldsymbol{w}$) $\partial_t \boldsymbol{w} = -????$



Ni, CC., Lin, YY., Luo, F. et al. Community Detection on Networks with Ricci Flow. Sci Rep 9, (2019).

Reimannian Manifold (M, g) $\partial_t g = -\text{Ricci}(g) \approx \text{Ricci}(W^1)$

(a) initial manifold (b) manifold after Ricci flow (c) manifold after surgery

Ni, CC., Lin, YY., Luo, F. et al. Community Detection on Networks with Ricci Flow. Sci Rep 9, (2019).

Weighted Graph($\mathcal{G}, \boldsymbol{w}$) $\partial_t w = -????$

Reimannian Manifold (M, g) $\partial_t g = -\text{Ricci}(g) \approx \text{Ricci}(W^1)$



 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{W}\mathsf{eighted} \ \mathsf{Graph}(\mathcal{G}, \boldsymbol{w}) \\ \\ \partial_t w = - \ \mathsf{Ricci}(W^1) \end{array}$

Ni, CC., Lin, YY., Luo, F. et al. Community Detection on Networks with Ricci Flow. Sci Rep 9, (2019).

Reimannian Manifold (M, g) $\partial_t g = -\text{Ricci}(g) \approx \text{Ricci}(W^1)$



Weighted Graph($\mathcal{G}, \boldsymbol{w}$) $\partial_t \boldsymbol{w} = - \operatorname{Ricci}(W^1)$

Ni, CC., Lin, YY., Luo, F. et al. Community Detection on Networks with Ricci Flow. Sci Rep 9, (2019).

Take home message: the problem

 The Optimal Transport Problem of moving continuous densities f⁺ and f⁻ with cost equal to Euclidean Distance

$$\min_{\mu:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^+}\left\{\mathcal{L}(\mu):=\int_{\Omega}\mu\frac{|\nabla u(\mu)|^2}{2}+\int_{\Omega}\frac{\mu}{2}\right\}$$

The Optimal Transport Problem f⁺ and f⁻ defined on graphs with cost equal to the Shortest Path Distance

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{E}|} \ge 0} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) := (\boldsymbol{w} \odot \boldsymbol{\mu})^{T} \frac{|\nabla u(\boldsymbol{\mu})|^{2}}{2} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{T} \boldsymbol{w}}{2} \right\}$$

The resulting minimum defines a useful distance on positive measure, the Wasserstein-1 distance

Take home message: the problem

 The Optimal Transport Problem of moving continuous densities f⁺ and f⁻ with cost equal to Euclidean Distance

$$\min_{\mu:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^+}\left\{\mathcal{L}(\mu):=\int_{\Omega}\mu\frac{|\nabla u(\mu)|^2}{2}+\int_{\Omega}\frac{\mu}{2}\right\}$$

The Optimal Transport Problem f⁺ and f⁻ defined on graphs with cost equal to the Shortest Path Distance

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{E}|}\geq 0}\left\{\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\mu}):=(\boldsymbol{w}\odot\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T}\frac{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\mu})|^{2}}{2}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{T}\boldsymbol{w}}{2}\right\}$$

The resulting minimum defines a useful distance on positive measure, the Wasserstein-1 distance

Take home message: the problem

 The Optimal Transport Problem of moving continuous densities f⁺ and f⁻ with cost equal to Euclidean Distance

$$\min_{\mu:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^+}\left\{\mathcal{L}(\mu):=\int_{\Omega}\mu\frac{|\nabla u(\mu)|^2}{2}+\int_{\Omega}\frac{\mu}{2}\right\}$$

The Optimal Transport Problem f⁺ and f⁻ defined on graphs with cost equal to the Shortest Path Distance

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{E}|}\geq 0}\left\{\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\mu}):=(\boldsymbol{w}\odot\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T}\frac{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\mu})|^{2}}{2}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{T}\boldsymbol{w}}{2}\right\}$$

 The resulting minimum defines a useful distance on positive measure, the Wasserstein-1 distance

$$\inf_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = (\boldsymbol{w} \odot \boldsymbol{\mu})^{T} \frac{|\nabla u(\boldsymbol{\mu})|^{2}}{2} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{T} \boldsymbol{w}}{2} : \boldsymbol{\mu} \ge 0 \right\}$$

Gradient Descent Dynamics $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mu(t))$ Projected gradient/Small Time-step

- Bio-inspired Dynamics (Mirror gradient descent) $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\mu(t) \nabla_\mu \mathcal{L}(\mu(t)) \ \mu(t) \ge \exp^{-t} \min \mu(0)$ Positiveness preserved
- Gradient Descent Dynamics

 $\mu=\Psi(\sigma)=\sigma^2/2$ Positiveness preserved $\partial_t\sigma(t)=abla_\sigma\,\mathcal{L}(\Psi(\sigma(t)))$ "Classical GD"

$$\inf_{\mu} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(\mu) = (\boldsymbol{w} \odot \mu)^{T} \frac{|\nabla u(\mu)|^{2}}{2} + \frac{\mu^{T} \boldsymbol{w}}{2} : \mu \geq 0 \right\}$$

- Gradient Descent Dynamics $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mu(t))$ Projected gradient/Small Time-step
- Bio-inspired Dynamics (Mirror gradient descent) $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\mu(t) \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{L}(\mu(t)) \ \mu(t) \ge \exp^{-t} \min \mu(0)$ Positiveness preserved
- Gradient Descent Dynamics

 $\mu=\Psi(\sigma)=\sigma^2/2$ Positiveness preserved $\partial_t\sigma(t)=abla_\sigma\,\mathcal{L}(\Psi(\sigma(t)))$ "Classical GD"

$$\inf_{\mu} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(\mu) = (\boldsymbol{w} \odot \mu)^{T} \frac{|\nabla u(\mu)|^{2}}{2} + \frac{\mu^{T} \boldsymbol{w}}{2} : \boldsymbol{\mu} \geq \boldsymbol{0} \right\}$$

- Gradient Descent Dynamics $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mu(t))$ Projected gradient/Small Time-step
- Bio-inspired Dynamics (Mirror gradient descent) $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\mu(t) \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{L}(\mu(t)) \ \mu(t) \ge \exp^{-t} \min \mu(0)$ Positiveness preserved
- Gradient Descent Dynamics

 $m\mu=\Psi(m\sigma)=m\sigma^2/2$ Positiveness preserved $\partial_tm\sigma(t)=abla_{m\sigma}\,\mathcal{L}(\Psi(m\sigma(t)))$ "Classical GD"

$$\inf_{\mu} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(\mu) = (\boldsymbol{w} \odot \mu)^{T} \frac{|\nabla u(\mu)|^{2}}{2} + \frac{\mu^{T} \boldsymbol{w}}{2} : \boldsymbol{\mu} \geq \boldsymbol{0} \right\}$$

- Gradient Descent Dynamics $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mu(t))$ Projected gradient/Small Time-step
- Bio-inspired Dynamics (Mirror gradient descent) $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\mu(t) \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{L}(\mu(t)) \ \mu(t) \ge \exp^{-t} \min \mu(0)$ Positiveness preserved
- Gradient Descent Dynamics

 $m{\mu}=\Psi(m{\sigma})=m{\sigma}^2/2$ Positiveness preserved $\partial_tm{\sigma}(t)=abla_{m{\sigma}}\mathcal{L}(\Psi(m{\sigma}(t)))$ "Classical GD"

$$\inf_{\mu} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(\mu) = (\boldsymbol{w} \odot \mu)^{T} \frac{|\nabla u(\mu)|^{2}}{2} + \frac{\mu^{T} \boldsymbol{w}}{2} : \boldsymbol{\mu} \geq \boldsymbol{0} \right\}$$

- Gradient Descent Dynamics $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mu(t))$ Projected gradient/Small Time-step
- Bio-inspired Dynamics (Mirror gradient descent) $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\mu(t) \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{L}(\mu(t)) \ \mu(t) \ge \exp^{-t} \min \mu(0)$ Positiveness preserved
- Gradient Descent Dynamics

 $\mu = \Psi(\sigma) = \sigma^2/2$ Positiveness preserved $\partial_t \sigma(t) = -
abla_\sigma \mathcal{L}(\Psi(\sigma(t)))$ "Classical GD"

$$\inf_{\mu} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(\mu) = (\boldsymbol{w} \odot \mu)^{T} \frac{|\nabla u(\mu)|^{2}}{2} + \frac{\mu^{T} \boldsymbol{w}}{2} : \boldsymbol{\mu} \geq \boldsymbol{0} \right\}$$

- Gradient Descent Dynamics $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mu(t))$ Projected gradient/Small Time-step
- Bio-inspired Dynamics (Mirror gradient descent) $\partial_t \mu(t) = -\mu(t) \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{L}(\mu(t)) \ \mu(t) \ge \exp^{-t} \min \mu(0)$ Positiveness preserved
- Gradient Descent Dynamics

 $\mu = \Psi(\sigma) = \sigma^2/2$ Positiveness preserved $\partial_t \sigma(t) = -\nabla_\sigma \mathcal{L}(\Psi(\sigma(t)))$ "Classical GD"

$$\mathsf{DAE} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{div}\,\mathsf{diag}\,(\sigma^2/2)\,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{u}(t) = \boldsymbol{f} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}'(t) = -\,\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\,\mathcal{L}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t))) \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}(0) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 > 0 \end{array} \right. \qquad t^{k+1} = t^k + \Delta t^k$$

- **Explicit Euler (Gradient Descent)** Accelerated Gradient Descent One weighted Laplacian system div diag $(\tilde{\mu}) \nabla x = b$ per iteration Time step size limitation
- Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{div}\,\mathsf{diag}\,(\mu)\,\boldsymbol{\nabla} & \mathsf{div}\,\boldsymbol{D}_1\\ \boldsymbol{D}_1\boldsymbol{\nabla} & -\frac{1}{\Delta t}\,\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{D}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^m\\ s_2^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{F}_1\\ -\boldsymbol{F}_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathsf{div}\,\mathsf{diag}\,(\tilde{\mu})\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}s_1^m = \boldsymbol{b}$$

More than 1 inexact weighted Laplacian system per iteration Larger time step size

$$\mathsf{DAE} \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{diag} \left(\sigma^2/2 \right) \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}(t) = \boldsymbol{f} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}'(t) = -\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \mathcal{L}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t))) \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}(0) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 > 0 \end{cases} \quad t^{k+1} = t^k + \Delta t^k$$

Explicit Euler (Gradient Descent) Accelerated Gradient Descent One weighted Laplacian system div diag $(\tilde{\mu}) \nabla x = b$ per iteration Time step size limitation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{div}\operatorname{diag}(\mu) \nabla & \operatorname{div} D_1 \\ D_1 \nabla & -\frac{1}{\Delta t}I + D_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^m \\ s_2^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -F_1 \\ -F_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \operatorname{div}\operatorname{diag}(\tilde{\mu}) \nabla s_1^m = b$$

More than 1 inexact weighted Laplacian system per iteration Larger time step size

$$\mathsf{DAE} \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{diag} \left(\sigma^2/2 \right) \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}(t) = \boldsymbol{f} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}'(t) = -\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \mathcal{L}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t))) \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}(0) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 > 0 \end{cases} \quad t^{k+1} = t^k + \Delta t^k$$

- Explicit Euler (Gradient Descent) Accelerated Gradient Descent One weighted Laplacian system div diag $(\tilde{\mu}) \nabla x = b$ per iteration Time step size limitation
- Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{div}\,\mathsf{diag}\,(\boldsymbol{\mu})\,\boldsymbol{\nabla} & \mathsf{div}\,\boldsymbol{D}_1\\ \boldsymbol{D}_1\boldsymbol{\nabla} & -\frac{1}{\Delta t}\,\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{D}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{s}_1^m\\ \boldsymbol{s}_2^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{F}_1\\ -\boldsymbol{F}_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathsf{div}\,\mathsf{diag}\,(\boldsymbol{\tilde{\mu}})\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{s}_1^m = \boldsymbol{b} \end{cases}$$

More than 1 inexact weighted Laplacian system per iteration Larger time step size

$$\mathsf{DAE} \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{diag} \left(\sigma^2/2 \right) \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}(t) = \boldsymbol{f} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}'(t) = -\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \mathcal{L}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t))) \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}(0) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 > 0 \end{cases} \quad t^{k+1} = t^k + \Delta t^k$$

- Explicit Euler (Gradient Descent) Accelerated Gradient Descent One weighted Laplacian system div diag $(\tilde{\mu}) \nabla x = b$ per iteration Time step size limitation
- Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton

$$\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{div}\operatorname{diag}(\mu) \nabla & \operatorname{div} D_1 \\ D_1 \nabla & -\frac{1}{\Delta t} I + D_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^m \\ s_2^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -F_1 \\ -F_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \operatorname{div}\operatorname{diag}(\tilde{\mu}) \nabla s_1^m = b$$

More than 1 inexact weighted Laplacian system per iteration Larger time step size

Comparison - Errors vs CPU-time



 $pprox 10^5 \ {\rm nodes/edges}$

Computational Cost - Scaling with graphs' size

"Grid-like" graphs (only "local" connection)											
Graphs Ti				Newton	AGMG	CPU	Errors				
${\mathcal G}$	$ \mathcal{V} $	$ \mathcal{E} $	\mathbf{steps}	\mathbf{steps}	Iters.	(s)	$ \operatorname{err}_{\boldsymbol{u}^*}(\boldsymbol{\hat{u}}) $				
\mathcal{G}_0	$1.1\cdot 10^3$	$3.1\cdot 10^3$	9	29	335	0.0963	3.3e-15				
\mathcal{G}_1	$4.2\cdot 10^3$	$1.2\cdot 10^4$	6	25	359	0.226	$2.7e{-}13$				
\mathcal{G}_2	$1.7\cdot 10^4$	$4.9\cdot 10^4$	7	26	399	0.902	9.0e-14				
\mathcal{G}_3	$6.6\cdot 10^4$	$2.0\cdot 10^5$	7	28	456	4.43	$3.3e{-}15$				
\mathcal{G}_4	$2.6\cdot 10^5$	$7.9\cdot 10^5$	7	31	545	24.2	6.7e-16				
\mathcal{G}_5	$1.1\cdot 10^6$	$3.1\cdot 10^6$	8	34	646	136	5.0e-14				

 $\# \text{Newton-step} \approx \mathcal{O}(1) \quad \text{CPU} \approx \mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|^{0.11})$

AGMG=AGgregation-based algebraic MultiGrid (Notay et al.) "Watts-Strogatz " graphs

 $\#\mathsf{Newton-step}\approx \mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|^{0.31})/\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|^{0.36}) \quad \mathsf{CPU}\approx \mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|^{1.14})/\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|^{1.51})$

LAMG=Lean Algebraic MultiGrid (Livne et al.)

Computational Cost - Scaling with graphs' size

"Grid-like" graphs (only "local" connection)											
Graphs				Newton	AGMG	CPU	Errors				
\mathcal{G}	$ \mathcal{V} $	$ \mathcal{E} $	\mathbf{steps}	\mathbf{steps}	Iters.	(s)	$ \operatorname{err}_{\boldsymbol{u}^*}(\boldsymbol{\hat{u}}) $				
\mathcal{G}_0	$1.1\cdot 10^3$	$3.1\cdot 10^3$	9	29	335	0.0963	3.3e-15				
\mathcal{G}_1	$4.2\cdot 10^3$	$1.2\cdot 10^4$	6	25	359	0.226	$2.7e{-}13$				
\mathcal{G}_2	$1.7\cdot 10^4$	$4.9\cdot 10^4$	7	26	399	0.902	9.0e-14				
\mathcal{G}_3	$6.6\cdot 10^4$	$2.0\cdot 10^5$	7	28	456	4.43	$3.3e{-}15$				
\mathcal{G}_4	$2.6\cdot 10^5$	$7.9\cdot 10^5$	7	31	545	24.2	6.7e-16				
\mathcal{G}_5	$1.1\cdot 10^6$	$3.1\cdot 10^6$	8	34	646	136	5.0e-14				

#Newton-step $\approx \mathcal{O}(1)$ CPU $\approx \mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|^{0.11})$

AGMG=AGgregation-based algebraic MultiGrid (Notay et al.) "Watts-Strogatz " graphs

 $\# \mathsf{Newton-step} \approx \mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|^{0.31}) / \mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|^{0.36}) \quad \mathsf{CPU} \approx \mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|^{1.14}) / \mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|^{1.51})$

LAMG=Lean Algebraic MultiGrid (Livne et al.)

Computational Cost - Errors evolution



- Using the $\mu = \sigma^2/2$ positiveness is preserved and purely Gradient Descent approaches can be used
- Explicit (or 1st-order) schemes (GD or AGD) are cheap but requires many iterations to converge
- Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton (2nd-order schemes) more expensive requires less time iterations
- "And the winner is": Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton
- The weighted Laplacian systems are solved efficiently by algebraic Multigrid Solvers (AGMG and LAMG)
- Selection of "active" edges can drastically improve efficiency

The paper: E. Facca and M. Benzi, "Fast iterative solution of the optimal transport problem on graphs" SIAM J. Sci. Comput. (2021).

- Using the $\mu = \sigma^2/2$ positiveness is preserved and purely Gradient Descent approaches can be used
- Explicit (or 1st-order) schemes (GD or AGD) are cheap but requires many iterations to converge
- Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton (2nd-order schemes) more expensive requires less time iterations
- "And the winner is": Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton
- The weighted Laplacian systems are solved efficiently by algebraic Multigrid Solvers (AGMG and LAMG)
- Selection of "active" edges can drastically improve efficiency

The paper: E. Facca and M. Benzi, "Fast iterative solution of the optimal transport problem on graphs" SIAM J. Sci. Comput. (2021).

- Using the $\mu = \sigma^2/2$ positiveness is preserved and purely Gradient Descent approaches can be used
- Explicit (or 1st-order) schemes (GD or AGD) are cheap but requires many iterations to converge
- Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton (2nd-order schemes) more expensive requires less time iterations
- "And the winner is": Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton
- The weighted Laplacian systems are solved efficiently by algebraic Multigrid Solvers (AGMG and LAMG)
- Selection of "active" edges can drastically improve efficiency

The paper: E. Facca and M. Benzi, "Fast iterative solution of the optimal transport problem on graphs" SIAM J. Sci. Comput. (2021).

- Using the $\mu = \sigma^2/2$ positiveness is preserved and purely Gradient Descent approaches can be used
- Explicit (or 1st-order) schemes (GD or AGD) are cheap but requires many iterations to converge
- Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton (2nd-order schemes) more expensive requires less time iterations
- "And the winner is": Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton
- The weighted Laplacian systems are solved efficiently by algebraic Multigrid Solvers (AGMG and LAMG)
- Selection of "active" edges can drastically improve efficiency

The paper: E. Facca and M. Benzi, "Fast iterative solution of the optimal transport problem on graphs" SIAM J. Sci. Comput. (2021).

- Using the $\mu = \sigma^2/2$ positiveness is preserved and purely Gradient Descent approaches can be used
- Explicit (or 1st-order) schemes (GD or AGD) are cheap but requires many iterations to converge
- Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton (2nd-order schemes) more expensive requires less time iterations
- "And the winner is": Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton
- The weighted Laplacian systems are solved efficiently by algebraic Multigrid Solvers (AGMG and LAMG)
- Selection of "active" edges can drastically improve efficiency

The paper: E. Facca and M. Benzi, "Fast iterative solution of the optimal transport problem on graphs" SIAM J. Sci. Comput. (2021).

- Using the $\mu = \sigma^2/2$ positiveness is preserved and purely Gradient Descent approaches can be used
- Explicit (or 1st-order) schemes (GD or AGD) are cheap but requires many iterations to converge
- Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton (2nd-order schemes) more expensive requires less time iterations
- "And the winner is": Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton
- The weighted Laplacian systems are solved efficiently by algebraic Multigrid Solvers (AGMG and LAMG)
- Selection of "active" edges can drastically improve efficiency

The paper: E. Facca and M. Benzi, "Fast iterative solution of the optimal transport problem on graphs" SIAM J. Sci. Comput. (2021).

- Using the $\mu = \sigma^2/2$ positiveness is preserved and purely Gradient Descent approaches can be used
- Explicit (or 1st-order) schemes (GD or AGD) are cheap but requires many iterations to converge
- Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton (2nd-order schemes) more expensive requires less time iterations
- "And the winner is": Implicit Euler + Inexact Newton
- The weighted Laplacian systems are solved efficiently by algebraic Multigrid Solvers (AGMG and LAMG)
- Selection of "active" edges can drastically improve efficiency

The paper: E. Facca and M. Benzi, "Fast iterative solution of the optimal transport problem on graphs" SIAM J. Sci. Comput. (2021).