# Multifractal Eigenfunctions in a Singular Quantum Billiard

#### Jon Keating

Mathematical Institute University of Oxford

keating@maths.ox.ac.uk

June 24, 2021

### Joint work with Henrik Ueberschär (Sorbonne Université).

arXiv:2103.13448

Jon Keating (Oxford)

Multifractal Eigenfunctions

<mark>টা বাই চাই পি</mark> থ June 24, 2021 2 / 25

## Context – Quantum Chaos

(日)

**chaotic systems:** Random-matrix spectral statistics; eigenfunctions are locally sums of a large number of randomly directed plane waves; e.g. free motion on a torus with a circular obstacle/scatterer (Sinai billiards)

**chaotic systems:** Random-matrix spectral statistics; eigenfunctions are locally sums of a large number of randomly directed plane waves; e.g. free motion on a torus with a circular obstacle/scatterer (Sinai billiards)

**intermediate systems:** spectral statistics with both Poisson and random-matrix features; eigenfunctions are **multifractal**; e.g. Anderson transition; star graphs;

**chaotic systems:** Random-matrix spectral statistics; eigenfunctions are locally sums of a large number of randomly directed plane waves; e.g. free motion on a torus with a circular obstacle/scatterer (Sinai billiards)

**intermediate systems:** spectral statistics with both Poisson and random-matrix features; eigenfunctions are multifractal; e.g. Anderson transition; star graphs; Šeba billiards

We shall focus on arithmetic Šeba billiard associated with the square lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2.$ 

We shall focus on arithmetic Šeba billiard associated with the square lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2.$ 

Let  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^2$ . The spectrum of the positive Laplacian  $-\Delta = -(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)$  is given by  $n = x^2 + y^2$ ,  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Denote the ordered set of such numbers as  $\mathcal{N}$ . The multiplicities are given by

$$r_2(n) = \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid n = x^2 + y^2\}$$

We shall focus on arithmetic Šeba billiard associated with the square lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2.$ 

Let  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^2$ . The spectrum of the positive Laplacian  $-\Delta = -(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)$  is given by  $n = x^2 + y^2$ ,  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Denote the ordered set of such numbers as  $\mathcal{N}$ . The multiplicities are given by

$$r_2(n) = \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid n = x^2 + y^2\}$$

Consider a self-adjoint extension  $-\Delta_{\varphi}$ ,  $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi)$ , of  $-\Delta$  restricted to the domain  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \{x_0\})$  – this operator corresponds to the **weak** coupling quantization for a square torus with a Dirac-delta potential.

The spectrum of the operator  $-\Delta_{\varphi}$  consists of two parts:

- (i) old (Laplace) eigenvalues with multiplicity reduced by 1
- (ii) new eigenvalues with multiplicity 1 which interlace with the Laplace eigenvalues

The eigenspace associated with old eigenvalues is simply the codimension one subspace of Laplace eigenfunctions which vanish at  $x_0$ . The old eigenfunctions do not feel the presence of the potential. We will only be interested in the new eigenfunctions which *do* feel the presence of the potential.

The new eigenfunctions are Green functions associated with the resolvent of the Laplacian, where one variable has been fixed as the position of the singularity  $x_0$ . Because of translation invariance we may set  $x_0 = 0$ . We then have the following  $L^2$ -representation of the new eigenfunctions

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_\lambda(x) \stackrel{L^2}{=} & \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^2} rac{e^{i\langle \xi, x 
angle}}{|\xi|^2 - \lambda} \ &= & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} rac{1}{n - \lambda} \sum_{|\xi|^2 = n} e^{i\langle \xi, x 
angle}. \end{aligned}$$

The new eigenvalues may be determined as the solutions of the *spectral* equation

$$\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}}r_2(n)\left\{rac{1}{n-\lambda}-rac{n}{n^2+1}
ight\}=c_0 an(rac{arphi}{2}).$$

The strong coupling quantization (c.f. Shigehara; Bogomolny, Gerland & Schmit; Kurlberg & Rudnick; Rudnick & Ueberschär; Kurlberg & Ueberschär; ...) corresponds to a logarithmic renormalization of the coupling parameter,  $\tan(\varphi_{\lambda}/2) \sim c \log \lambda$ , and is equivalent to a truncation of the sum on the l.h.s. of the spectral equation outside a window of size  $\lambda^{1/2}$  [the tail of the sum has a logarithmic asymptotic as  $\lambda \to +\infty$  that is cancelled by the leading term in the asymptotic of  $\tan(\varphi_{\lambda}/2)$ . The second order term in this asymptotic is related to local information about the position of the new eigenvalues.]

The strong coupling quantization (c.f. Shigehara; Bogomolny, Gerland & Schmit; Kurlberg & Rudnick; Rudnick & Ueberschär; Kurlberg & Ueberschär; ...) corresponds to a logarithmic renormalization of the coupling parameter,  $\tan(\varphi_{\lambda}/2) \sim c \log \lambda$ , and is equivalent to a truncation of the sum on the l.h.s. of the spectral equation outside a window of size  $\lambda^{1/2}$  [the tail of the sum has a logarithmic asymptotic as  $\lambda \to +\infty$  that is cancelled by the leading term in the asymptotic of  $\tan(\varphi_{\lambda}/2)$ . The second order term in this asymptotic is related to local information about the position of the new eigenvalues.]

This strong coupling quantization is the one we shall be most interested in.

The strong coupling quantization (c.f. Shigehara; Bogomolny, Gerland & Schmit; Kurlberg & Rudnick; Rudnick & Ueberschär; Kurlberg & Ueberschär; ...) corresponds to a logarithmic renormalization of the coupling parameter,  $\tan(\varphi_{\lambda}/2) \sim c \log \lambda$ , and is equivalent to a truncation of the sum on the l.h.s. of the spectral equation outside a window of size  $\lambda^{1/2}$  [the tail of the sum has a logarithmic asymptotic as  $\lambda \to +\infty$  that is cancelled by the leading term in the asymptotic of  $\tan(\varphi_{\lambda}/2)$ . The second order term in this asymptotic is related to local information about the position of the new eigenvalues.]

This strong coupling quantization is the one we shall be most interested in.

It is similar in many respects to *quantum star graphs* (Berkolaiko & KKeating; Berkolaiko, Bogomolny & Keating; Berkolaiko, Keating & Winn; Keating, Marklof & Winn; ...).

Image: Image:

We denote by  $\Delta_j = \min_{m \in \mathcal{N}} |m - \lambda_j| = |\tilde{n}_j - \lambda_j|$  the distance of a new eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  to the closest neighbouring Laplace eigenvalue, which we denote by  $\tilde{n}_j$ . If two such eigenvalues exist we denote by  $\tilde{n}_j$  the smaller of the two.

We denote by  $\Delta_j = \min_{m \in \mathcal{N}} |m - \lambda_j| = |\tilde{n}_j - \lambda_j|$  the distance of a new eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  to the closest neighbouring Laplace eigenvalue, which we denote by  $\tilde{n}_j$ . If two such eigenvalues exist we denote by  $\tilde{n}_j$  the smaller of the two.

Define the mean distance

$$\left\langle \Delta_{j} \right\rangle_{x} = rac{1}{\#\{k \mid \lambda_{k} \leq x\}} \sum_{\lambda_{k} \leq x} \Delta_{k}.$$

We denote by  $\Delta_j = \min_{m \in \mathcal{N}} |m - \lambda_j| = |\tilde{n}_j - \lambda_j|$  the distance of a new eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  to the closest neighbouring Laplace eigenvalue, which we denote by  $\tilde{n}_j$ . If two such eigenvalues exist we denote by  $\tilde{n}_j$  the smaller of the two.

Define the mean distance

$$\left< \Delta_j \right>_{\mathsf{x}} = rac{1}{\#\{k \mid \lambda_k \leq \mathsf{x}\}} \sum_{\lambda_k \leq \mathsf{x}} \Delta_k.$$

In the weak coupling case:  $\left< \Delta_j \right>_{\scriptscriptstyle X} = O((\log x)^{-1/2})$ 

We denote by  $\Delta_j = \min_{m \in \mathcal{N}} |m - \lambda_j| = |\tilde{n}_j - \lambda_j|$  the distance of a new eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  to the closest neighbouring Laplace eigenvalue, which we denote by  $\tilde{n}_j$ . If two such eigenvalues exist we denote by  $\tilde{n}_j$  the smaller of the two.

Define the mean distance

$$\left< \Delta_j \right>_x = rac{1}{\#\{k \mid \lambda_k \leq x\}} \sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} \Delta_k.$$

In the weak coupling case:  $\left< \Delta_j \right>_x = O((\log x)^{-1/2})$ 

In the strong coupling case  $\langle \Delta_j \rangle_x = (\log x)^{\alpha + o(1)}$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha(\Lambda) \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ .

Fractals: self-similar geometrical objects characterised by a single non-integer scaling dimension

Fractals: self-similar geometrical objects characterised by a single non-integer scaling dimension

Multifractals: geometrical objects characterised by a spectrum of scaling dimensions, for example where the local scaling dimension differs from point to point and is itself fractally distributed.

Denote by  $(X, \mu)$  a measure space. In multifractal analysis one usually evaluates  $\mu$  on a box partition  $\mathcal{B}_r$  of X, where r denotes the side length of the boxes. To characterise the self-similarity of the measure  $\mu$  one studies the behaviour of the moment sum

$$M_q(r) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} \mu(B)^q$$

in the limit as  $r \to 0$ . One expects a scaling law of the form  $M_p(r) \sim r^{D_q}$ , where  $D_q$  denotes the fractal exponent.

Consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $-\Delta$  on a two-dimensional Euclidean compact manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  with or without boundary. An eigenfunction  $\psi_{\lambda}$  of  $-\Delta$ , satisfying  $(\Delta + \lambda)\psi_{\lambda} = 0$ , may be represented as a superposition of plane waves

$$\psi_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{L}} \hat{\psi}_{\lambda}(\xi) e^{i \xi \cdot x}$$

by embedding the Euclidean billiard in a rectangular enclosure  $\mathcal{R}$  and representing  $\psi_{\lambda}$  with respect to the canonical orthonormal basis of complex exponentials  $e^{i\xi \cdot x}$ , where  $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a rectangular lattice.

Consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $-\Delta$  on a two-dimensional Euclidean compact manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  with or without boundary. An eigenfunction  $\psi_{\lambda}$  of  $-\Delta$ , satisfying  $(\Delta + \lambda)\psi_{\lambda} = 0$ , may be represented as a superposition of plane waves

$$\psi_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{L}} \hat{\psi}_{\lambda}(\xi) e^{i \xi \cdot x}$$

by embedding the Euclidean billiard in a rectangular enclosure  $\mathcal{R}$  and representing  $\psi_{\lambda}$  with respect to the canonical orthonormal basis of complex exponentials  $e^{i\xi \cdot x}$ , where  $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a rectangular lattice.

Let us introduce the probability measure

$$\mu_{\lambda}(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}_{\lambda}(\xi)|^2,$$

where we assume that  $\psi$  is  $L^2$ -normalized, and consider the moment sum

$$M_q(\lambda) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{L}} \mu_\lambda(\xi)^q.$$

To begin with, consider the case of a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. Each eigenstate may be represented by a vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , where *d* denotes the dimension of the space. Let us normalize such that the largest coordinate equals 1 ( $\ell^{\infty}$  normalization). To begin with, consider the case of a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. Each eigenstate may be represented by a vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , where *d* denotes the dimension of the space. Let us normalize such that the largest coordinate equals 1 ( $\ell^{\infty}$  normalization).

The exponent  $D_q$  describes how the moment sum associated with v scales with respect to the dimension d:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n v_k^{2q} \sim d^{D_q}.$$

If v is flat, then all entries equal 1 and  $D_q = 1$ . If v is maximally localized, meaning that one entry equals 1 and all others vanish, then  $D_q = 0$ .

Often one normalizes with respect to the  $\ell^2$ -norm. In this case one observes a decay of the type  $\sim d^{(1-q)D_q}$ .

Often one normalizes with respect to the  $\ell^2$ -norm. In this case one observes a decay of the type  $\sim d^{(1-q)D_q}$ .

The fractal exponent  $D_q$  may be defined in terms of the Renyi entropy of the probability measure  $p_i = v_i^2/||v||^2$  in the following way:

$$D_q = \frac{H_q(v)}{\log d}$$

with

$$H_q(v) = rac{1}{1-q} \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^d p_i^q\right).$$

In the case of Šeba's billiard we have the following explicit formula for the probability measure  $\mu_{\lambda}$  on the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ :

$$\mu_{\lambda}(\xi) = (|\xi|^2 - \lambda)^{-2} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (|\xi|^2 - \lambda)^{-2} \right)^{-1}$$

٠

In the case of Šeba's billiard we have the following explicit formula for the probability measure  $\mu_{\lambda}$  on the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ :

$$\mu_{\lambda}(\xi) = (|\xi|^{2} - \lambda)^{-2} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} (|\xi|^{2} - \lambda)^{-2} \right)^{-1}$$

Firstly, let us determine the scaling parameter. Since we are dealing with an infinite-dimensional space, the dimension must be replaced with the number of important components N in the moment sum. N will be defined in terms of the average number of lattice points in the annulus which supports most of the mass of the measure  $\mu_{\lambda}$ ; in particular N will depend on  $\lambda$ .

The moment sum takes the form

$$M_q(\lambda_j) = rac{\zeta_{\lambda_j}(2q)}{\zeta_{\lambda_j}(2)^q}$$

where we introduce the zeta function

$$\zeta_{\lambda}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} r_Q(m)(m-\lambda)^{-s}, \quad \text{Re} \, s > 1,$$

 $r_Q(n)$  denotes the representation number of the quadratic form defined by  $Q(\xi_1, \xi_2) = |\xi|^2$  for  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{L}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the set of numbers which is representable as a value of Q for some  $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$ .

In the following we will consider the *arithmetic case*, when  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $r_Q(n) = r_2(n)$ .

The moment sum can be rewritten as

$$M_q(\lambda_j) = rac{\zeta_{\lambda_j}(2q)}{\zeta_{\lambda_j}(2)^q} = rac{m_q(\lambda_j)}{m_1(\lambda_j)^q}$$

where

$$m_q(\lambda_j) = r_2(\tilde{n}_j) + \Delta_j^{2q} \sum_{m \neq \tilde{n}_j} r_2(m)(m - \lambda_j)^{-2q}$$

and, because  $\Delta_j$  is typically small, only the term  $r_2(\tilde{n}_j)$  contributes in the numerator and denominator respectively. Hence, along a full density subsequence of eigenvalues,

$$M_q(\lambda_j) \sim r_2(\tilde{n}_j)^{1-q}.$$

For a full density subsequence of  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  we have

$$r_2(n) = (\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}\log 2 + o(1)}$$

because  $r_2(n)$  is close to its logarithmic normal order. Therefore, we define the scaling parameter as the logarithmic normal order of  $r_2(\tilde{n}_j)$ :

$$N = (\log \tilde{n}_j)^{\frac{1}{2}\log 2}$$

We define the semiclassical fractal exponent, associated with a sequence of eigenvalues, as follows.

Let  $q > \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be a sequence of eigenvalues which accumulates at infinity. We define the **general entropy** associated with the eigenfunction  $\psi_{\lambda}$  as

$$h_q(\psi_\lambda) = \log m_q(\lambda).$$

For  $q \neq 1$  the **Renyi entropy** associated with the probability measure  $\mu_{\lambda}$ on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  may then be defined as

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_q(\mu_\lambda) &= rac{h_q(\psi_\lambda) - qh_1(\psi_\lambda)}{1-q} = rac{1}{1-q} \log \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \mu_\lambda(\xi)^q 
ight). \end{aligned}$$

The fractal exponent associated with  $\Lambda$  is defined as

$$\begin{split} d_q^{\Lambda} &= \limsup_{\lambda \in \Lambda \to +\infty} \frac{h_q(\psi_{\lambda})}{\log N} \quad \text{with respect to the } \ell^{\infty}\text{-normalization,} \\ D_q^{\Lambda} &= \limsup_{\lambda \in \Lambda \to +\infty} \frac{H_q(\mu_{\lambda})}{\log N} \quad \text{with respect to the } \ell^2\text{-normalization.} \end{split}$$

In the weak coupling case, due to the weakness of the perturbation, the new eigenvalue is on average close to a neighbouring Laplace eigenvalue. As a result we only have a single fractal exponent.

In the weak coupling case, due to the weakness of the perturbation, the new eigenvalue is on average close to a neighbouring Laplace eigenvalue. As a result we only have a single fractal exponent.

#### Theorem

Let  $\Lambda_w$  denote the sequence of new eigenvalues in the weak coupling regime. There exists a full density subsequence  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda_w$  such that  $d_q(\Lambda') = D_q(\Lambda') = 1$ .

To determine the scaling parameter N we again have to calculate the number of important terms in the moment sum. This is closely related to the number of lattice points in an annulus

$$A(\lambda,G) = \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid ||\xi|^2 - \lambda| \leq G \},$$

where  $G = G(\lambda)$  is just large enough such that the terms corresponding to lattice points outside the annulus  $A(\lambda, G)$  are negligible, when  $\lambda \to +\infty$ . In particular, G must grow faster than the mean spacing of the Laplace eigenvalues:  $G/\sqrt{\log \lambda} \to +\infty$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $\Lambda_s$  denote a sequence of new eigenvalues such that  $\alpha = \alpha(\Lambda_s) \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ . There exists a full density subsequence  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda_s$  such that for any q > 1 which satisfies  $(1 - \log 2)(2 - 4\alpha)^{-1} < q \le (2 - 4\alpha)^{-1}$  we have the following formulae, which may be analytically continued to the complex plane,

$$d_q(\Lambda') = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2q}\right) \log 2$$

and for a constant  $c \in [\frac{1}{2} \log 2, 1]$ 

$$D_q(\Lambda') = rac{1}{2lpha} \left(1 - rac{1}{2q}
ight) rac{2cq - \log 2}{q-1}.$$

### Multifractality of the ground state: $\lambda \rightarrow 0$

э

The  $\lambda \to 0$  limit corresponds to the ground state in a weak coupling regime. In this regime we expect multifractality of the fluctuations of the eigenfunction.

The  $\lambda \to 0$  limit corresponds to the ground state in a weak coupling regime. In this regime we expect multifractality of the fluctuations of the eigenfunction.

This leads to the study of the modified moment sums

$$M_q^*(\lambda) = \zeta_\lambda^*(2q)$$

where

$$\zeta^*_{\lambda}(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{0\}} r_Q(n)(n-\lambda)^{-s}, \quad \operatorname{Re} s > 1.$$

The parameter N does not depend on  $\lambda$  in this regime and, therefore, we remove it from the definition of the fractal exponent. Let q > 1. We define the fractal exponent as follows

$$d^*_{m{q}} = \lim_{\lambda o 0} \log \zeta^*_\lambda(2m{q})$$

and

$$D_q^*=rac{d_q^*-qd_1^*}{1-q}$$

We denote Epstein's zeta function by

$$\zeta_Q(s) = \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} Q(m,n)^{-s}, \quad \operatorname{Re} s > 1$$

which is known to satisfy the functional equation

1

$$\zeta_Q(s) = \varphi_Q(s)\zeta_Q(1-s).$$

#### Theorem

The fractal exponent  $D_q^*$  admits an analytic continuation to the full complex plane in q. It satisfies the following symmetry relation with respect to the parameter value  $q = \frac{1}{4}$ :

$$D_{1/2-q}^* = \frac{1-q}{\frac{1}{2}+q} \left( D_q^* + \frac{\log \varphi_Q(2q) + (2q - \frac{1}{2}) \log \zeta_Q(2)}{1-q} \right)$$

In particular, in the limit as  $q \to 1$ , the fractal exponent converges to the Shannon entropy of the measure  $\mu_{\lambda}(\xi) = \zeta_Q(2)^{-1}|\xi|^{-4}$ :

$$\lim_{q \to 1} D_q^* = \log \zeta_Q(2) - 2 \frac{\zeta_Q'(2)}{\zeta_Q(2)}$$

which we may rewrite as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathit{Sh.}}(\mu_\lambda) = -\sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^2}rac{1}{\zeta_Q(2)|\xi|^4}\log\left(rac{1}{\zeta_Q(2)|\xi|^4}
ight).$$

We have been able to establish

• mutifractality of semiclassical eigenfunctions in arithmetic Šeba billiards in the strong coupling quantization, with a formula for the scaling exponents  $D_q$ ,

We have been able to establish

- mutifractality of semiclassical eigenfunctions in arithmetic Šeba billiards in the strong coupling quantization, with a formula for the scaling exponents  $D_q$ ,
- and mutifractality in the ground state, where we can prove symmetry in  $D_q$  around q = 1/4 as a consequence of the functional equation of the Epstein zeta-function.