# On some fractional problems with Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions

# Eduardo Colorado

#### Universidad Carlos III de Madrid (UC3M)

Research Project PID2019-106122GB.I00

8 ECM 2020 (Portoroz,  $25^{th}$  June 2021)

#### The main results of the talk are collected in:

E. C., A. Ortega, The Brezis-Nirenberg problem for the fractional Laplacian with mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **473** (2019).

#### The main results of the talk are collected in:

E. C., A. Ortega, The Brezis-Nirenberg problem for the fractional Laplacian with mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **473** (2019).

**P. Álvarez-Caudevilla, E. C., A. Ortega**, *The Positive solutions for semilinear elliptic problems involving* an inverse fractional operator. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **51** (2020).

Definition of the Fractional Laplacian (through the spectral decomposition) and extended problem to one more variable

- Definition of the Fractional Laplacian (through the spectral decomposition) and extended problem to one more variable
- Sobolev and Trace Inequalities

- Definition of the Fractional Laplacian (through the spectral decomposition) and extended problem to one more variable
- Sobolev and Trace Inequalities
- Attainability of the Sobolev constant

- Definition of the Fractional Laplacian (through the spectral decomposition) and extended problem to one more variable
- Sobolev and Trace Inequalities
- Attainability of the Sobolev constant
- Brezis-Nirenberg fractional problem with mixed Dirichlet-Neumann (D-N) boundary conditions

- Definition of the Fractional Laplacian (through the spectral decomposition) and extended problem to one more variable
- Sobolev and Trace Inequalities
- Attainability of the Sobolev constant
- Brezis-Nirenberg fractional problem with mixed Dirichlet-Neumann (D-N) boundary conditions
- Fractional elliptic problems involving an inverse fractional operator

#### Powers of Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)$ :

Let  $(\lambda_n, \varphi_n)$  be the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $(-\Delta)$  in  $\Omega$  with zero mixed D-N boundary data. Then  $(\lambda_n^s, \varphi_n)$  are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $(-\Delta)^s$ , also with zero D-N boundary conditions.

#### Powers of Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)$ :

Let  $(\lambda_n, \varphi_n)$  be the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $(-\Delta)$  in  $\Omega$  with zero mixed D-N boundary data. Then  $(\lambda_n^s, \varphi_n)$  are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $(-\Delta)^s$ , also with zero D-N boundary conditions.

The fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^s$  is well defined in the space of functions that vanish on  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,

$$H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega) = \left\{ u = \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n \varphi_n \in L^2(\Omega) : \|u\|^2_{H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)} = \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n^2 \lambda_n^s < \infty \right\}$$

#### Powers of Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)$ :

Let  $(\lambda_n, \varphi_n)$  be the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $(-\Delta)$  in  $\Omega$  with zero mixed D-N boundary data. Then  $(\lambda_n^s, \varphi_n)$  are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $(-\Delta)^s$ , also with zero D-N boundary conditions.

The fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^s$  is well defined in the space of functions that vanish on  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,

$$H^{s}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega) = \left\{ u = \sum_{n \ge 1} a_{n} \varphi_{n} \in L^{2}(\Omega) : \|u\|^{2}_{H^{s}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)} = \sum_{n \ge 1} a^{2}_{n} \lambda^{s}_{n} < \infty \right\}.$$

As a consequence,

$$(-\Delta)^s u = \sum_{n \ge 1} \lambda_n^s a_n \varphi_n.$$

Note that then  $||u||_{H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)} = ||(-\Delta)^{s/2}u||_{L^2(\Omega)}.$ 

#### Following [LM]

- $I_0^s(\Omega) = H^s(\Omega) \text{ for } 0 < s \le \frac{1}{2}.$
- $\ \, {} { \ \, { \ \, } \ \, } \ \, H^s_0(\Omega) \subsetneq H^s(\Omega) \ \, {\rm for} \ \, {1 \over 2} < s < 1.$

[LM] J.-L. Lions, E. Magenes, A Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications. Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

#### Following [LM]

- $I_0^s(\Omega) = H^s(\Omega) \text{ for } 0 < s \le \frac{1}{2}.$
- $\ \, {} { \ \, } { \ \ } { \ \ } { \ \ } { \ \ } { \$

[LM] J.-L. Lions, E. Magenes, A Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications. Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

#### As a consequence

- $\quad \ \, {\cal S}_{\Sigma_{\cal D}}(\Omega) = H^s(\Omega) \text{ for } 0 < s \le \frac{1}{2}.$

For the general problem

$$(P) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (-\Delta)^s u = f(x,u) & \mbox{ in } \Omega, \\ B(u) = 0 & \mbox{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

where we take mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions,

$$B(u) = \chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}} u + \chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}.$$

For the general problem

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = f(x, u) & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ B(u) = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where we take mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions,

$$B(u) = \chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}} u + \chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$$

 $\square$   $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}$  are smooth (N-1)-dimensional submanifolds of  $\partial \Omega$ .

 $\square$   $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  is a closed manifold of positive (N-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) = \alpha \in (0, \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\Omega)).$$

•  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}$  verify  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}} = \emptyset$ ,  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}} = \partial\Omega$ ,  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \cap \overline{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{N}} = \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a smooth (N-2)-dimensional submanifold of  $\partial\Omega$ .

$$(P_{\lambda}) \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s} u = \lambda u + u^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}}, & u > 0 \\ B(u) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $N > 2s, \frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ .

[BN] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg. CPAM, 1983.

$$(P_{\lambda}) \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s} u = \lambda u + u^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}}, & u > 0 \\ B(u) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $N > 2s, \frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ .

[BN] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg. CPAM, 1983.

Sense of weak/energy solution

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{s/2} u (-\Delta)^{s/2} \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f_{\lambda}(u) \varphi \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^{s}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega).$$

We also have an associated energy functional ( $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$ )

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left| (-\Delta)^{s/2} u \right|^2 \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} u^{2_s^*} \, dx$$

which is well defined in  $H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)$ . Clearly, the critical points of I correspond to solutions to  $(P_{\lambda})$ .

Consider the cylinder  $C_{\Omega} = \Omega \times (0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+$ . Given  $u \in H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)$ , we define its *s*-harmonic extension  $w = \mathsf{E}_s(u)$  to the cylinder  $C_{\Omega}$  as the solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s}\nabla w) = 0 & \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ B^{*}(w) = 0 & \text{ on } \partial_{L}\mathcal{C}_{\Omega} = \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty), \\ w = u & \text{ on } \Omega \times \{y = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

where

$$B^*(w) = w\chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^*} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^*},$$

with  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^* = \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \times [0, \infty)$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^* = \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \times [0, \infty)$ .

Consider the cylinder  $C_{\Omega} = \Omega \times (0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+$ . Given  $u \in H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)$ , we define its *s*-harmonic extension  $w = \mathsf{E}_s(u)$  to the cylinder  $C_{\Omega}$  as the solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s}\nabla w) = 0 & \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ B^{*}(w) = 0 & \text{ on } \partial_{L}\mathcal{C}_{\Omega} = \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty), \\ w = u & \text{ on } \Omega \times \{y = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

where

$$B^*(w) = w\chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^*} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^*},$$

with  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^* = \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \times [0, \infty)$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^* = \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \times [0, \infty)$ .

The extension function belongs to the space  $X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^*}^s(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})$  defined as the completion of  $\{z \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}) : z = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^*\}$  with respect to the norm

$$||z||_{X^s_{\Sigma^*_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})} = \left(\kappa_s \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla z|^2 dx dy\right)^{1/2}$$

where  $\kappa_s$  is a normalization constant.

Consider the cylinder  $C_{\Omega} = \Omega \times (0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+$ . Given  $u \in H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)$ , we define its *s*-harmonic extension  $w = \mathsf{E}_s(u)$  to the cylinder  $C_{\Omega}$  as the solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s}\nabla w) = 0 & \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ B^{*}(w) = 0 & \text{ on } \partial_{L}\mathcal{C}_{\Omega} = \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty), \\ w = u & \text{ on } \Omega \times \{y = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

where

$$B^*(w) = w\chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^*} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^*},$$

with  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^* = \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \times [0, \infty)$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^* = \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \times [0, \infty)$ .

Note that the extension operator is an isometry

$$\|\mathsf{E}_{s}(\psi)\|_{X^{s}_{\Sigma^{*}_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})} = \|\psi\|_{H^{s}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall \psi \in H^{s}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega).$$

Consider the cylinder  $C_{\Omega} = \Omega \times (0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+$ . Given  $u \in H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)$ , we define its *s*-harmonic extension  $w = \mathsf{E}_s(u)$  to the cylinder  $C_{\Omega}$  as the solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s}\nabla w) = 0 & \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ B^{*}(w) = 0 & \text{ on } \partial_{L}\mathcal{C}_{\Omega} = \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty), \\ w = u & \text{ on } \Omega \times \{y = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

where

$$B^*(w) = w\chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^*} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\chi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^*},$$

with  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^* = \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \times [0, \infty)$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^* = \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \times [0, \infty)$ .

Note that the extension operator is an isometry

$$\|\mathsf{E}_{s}(\psi)\|_{X^{s}_{\Sigma^{*}_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})} = \|\psi\|_{H^{s}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall \psi \in H^{s}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, for any  $\varphi \in X^s_{\Sigma^*_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})$ , we have the following trace inequality

$$\|\varphi\|_{X^{s}_{\Sigma^{*}_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})} \geq \|\varphi(\cdot,0)\|_{H^{s}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)}.$$

The relevance of the extension function w is that it is related to the fractional Laplacian of the original function u through the formula

$$-\kappa_s \lim_{y \searrow 0} y^{1-2s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y) = (-\Delta)^s u(x),$$

The relevance of the extension function w is that it is related to the fractional Laplacian of the original function u through the formula

$$-\kappa_s \lim_{y \searrow 0} y^{1-2s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y) = (-\Delta)^s u(x),$$

See:

[CS] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, CPDE, 2007.

The relevance of the extension function w is that it is related to the fractional Laplacian of the original function u through the formula

$$-\kappa_s \lim_{y \searrow 0} y^{1-2s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y) = (-\Delta)^s u(x),$$

See:

[CS] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, CPDE, 2007.

See also:

[BCdPS] C. Brändle, E.C., A. de Pablo, U. Sánchez, PRSE, 2013.

[CT] X. Cabré, J. Tan, Adv. Math., 2010.

[CDDS] A. Capella, J. Dávila, L. Dupaigne, Y. Sire, CPDE, 2011.

Denoting

$$L_s w := -\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s} \nabla w), \qquad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu^s} := -\kappa_s \lim_{y \searrow 0} y^{1-2s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}$$

we can reformulate  $(P_{\lambda})$  with the new variable as

$$(P_{\lambda}^{*}) \begin{cases} L_{s}w = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ B^{*}(w) = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{L}\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu^{s}} = \lambda w + w^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}} & \text{in } \Omega \times \{y = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Denoting

$$L_s w := -\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s} \nabla w), \qquad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu^s} := -\kappa_s \lim_{y \searrow 0} y^{1-2s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}$$

we can reformulate  $(P_{\lambda})$  with the new variable as

$$(P_{\lambda}^{*}) \begin{cases} L_{s}w = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ B^{*}(w) = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{L}\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu^{s}} = \lambda w + w^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}} & \text{in } \Omega \times \{y = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

We say as before that  $w \in X^s_{\Sigma^*_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})$  is an energy solution if

$$\kappa_s \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-2s} \langle \nabla w, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx dy = \int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda w + w^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}} \right) \varphi \, dx, \qquad \forall \, \varphi \in X^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}).$$

Denoting

$$L_s w := -\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s} \nabla w), \qquad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu^s} := -\kappa_s \lim_{y \searrow 0} y^{1-2s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}$$

we can reformulate  $(P_{\lambda})$  with the new variable as

$$(P_{\lambda}^{*}) \begin{cases} L_{s}w = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ B^{*}(w) = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{L}\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu^{s}} = \lambda w + w^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}} & \text{in } \Omega \times \{y = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

We say as before that  $w \in X^s_{\Sigma^*_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_\Omega)$  is an energy solution if

$$\kappa_s \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-2s} \langle \nabla w, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx dy = \int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda w + w^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}} \right) \varphi \, dx, \qquad \forall \, \varphi \in X^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}).$$

**Energy functional** 

$$J(w) = \frac{\kappa_s}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 \, dx \, dy - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} w^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} w^{2_s^*} \, dx \, .$$

Denoting

$$L_s w := -\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s} \nabla w), \qquad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu^s} := -\kappa_s \lim_{y \searrow 0} y^{1-2s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}$$

we can reformulate  $(P_{\lambda})$  with the new variable as

$$(P_{\lambda}^{*}) \begin{cases} L_{s}w = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ B^{*}(w) = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{L}\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu^{s}} = \lambda w + w^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}} & \text{in } \Omega \times \{y = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

We say as before that  $w \in X^s_{\Sigma^*_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_\Omega)$  is an energy solution if

$$\kappa_s \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-2s} \langle \nabla w, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx dy = \int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda w + w^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}} \right) \varphi \, dx, \qquad \forall \, \varphi \in X^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}).$$

Energy functional

$$J(w) = \frac{\kappa_s}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 \, dx \, dy - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} w^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} w^{2_s^*} \, dx \, .$$

Note that critical points of J in  $X^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})$  correspond to critical points of I in  $H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^*}(\Omega)$ .

# **Sobolev and Trace inequalities (Mixed D-N)**

Since we have a Dirichlet condition on  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  with  $0 < \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) < \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\Omega)$ , then

$$0 < C := \inf_{\substack{u \in H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega) \\ u \not\equiv 0}} \frac{\|u\|_{H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^{2^*_s}(\Omega)}}.$$

# **Sobolev and Trace inequalities (Mixed D-N)**

Since we have a Dirichlet condition on  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  with  $0 < \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) < \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\Omega)$ , then

$$0 < C := \inf_{\substack{u \in H_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\Omega) \\ u \not\equiv 0}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^{2_{s}^{*}}(\Omega)}}.$$

Hence, in terms of the extension function,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(x,0)dx\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2N}} \leq C \|\varphi(\cdot,0)\|_{H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)} = C \|E_s[\varphi(\cdot,0)]\|_{X^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^*}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})}.$$

# **Sobolev and Trace inequalities (Mixed D-N)**

Since we have a Dirichlet condition on  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  with  $0 < \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) < \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\Omega)$ , then

$$0 < C := \inf_{\substack{u \in H_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\Omega) \\ u \not\equiv 0}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^{2_{s}^{*}}(\Omega)}}.$$

Hence, in terms of the extension function,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(x,0)dx\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2N}} \leq C \|\varphi(\cdot,0)\|_{H^s_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}(\Omega)} = C \|E_s[\varphi(\cdot,0)]\|_{X^s_{\Sigma^*_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})}.$$

As a consequence, we obtain the following Mixed Trace inequality,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(x,0) dx\right)^{1-\frac{2s}{N}} \le C \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx dy.$$

for any  $\varphi \in X^s_{\Sigma^*_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})$ , where *C* is a positive constant.

We define the Sobolev constant "relative to  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ " as follows,

$$S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) = \inf_{\substack{u \in H_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}}{\|u\|_{L^{2_{s}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}} = \inf_{\substack{w \in X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}) \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{\|w\|_{X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})}^{2}}{\|w(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^{2_{s}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}}.$$

We define the Sobolev constant "relative to  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ " as follows,

$$S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) = \inf_{\substack{u \in H_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}}{\|u\|_{L^{2_{s}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}} = \inf_{\substack{w \in X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}) \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{\|w\|_{X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})}^{2}}{\|w(\cdot,0)\|_{L^{2_{s}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}}$$

**Theorem 1.**  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \leq 2^{-\frac{2s}{N}} \kappa_s S(s, N)$ , and even more, if  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) < 2^{-\frac{2s}{N}} \kappa_s S(s, N)$  $\Rightarrow S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  is attained.

We define the Sobolev constant "relative to  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ " as follows,

$$S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) = \inf_{\substack{u \in H_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}}{\|u\|_{L^{2_{s}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}} = \inf_{\substack{w \in X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}) \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{\|w\|_{X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})}^{2}}{\|w(\cdot,0)\|_{L^{2_{s}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}}$$

**Theorem 1.**  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \leq 2^{-\frac{2s}{N}} \kappa_s S(s, N)$ , and even more, if  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) < 2^{-\frac{2s}{N}} \kappa_s S(s, N)$  $\Rightarrow S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  is attained.

The key of the proof relies on concentration-compactness arguments by Lions [L]. See [ACP] for similar arguments adapted to mixed problems with s = 1.

[ACP] A. Abdellaoui, E.C., I. Peral, ADE, 2004. [L] P.L. Lions, Rev.Mat.lber, 1985.

Following [CP, Lemma 4.1] we have the next result.

**Lemma 1.** Under certain geometrical assumptions on the distribution of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda_1^s(\alpha) \to 0$ , as  $\alpha = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \to 0$ .

[CP] E.C., I. Peral, JFA, 2003.

Following [CP, Lemma 4.1] we have the next result.

Lemma 1. Under certain geometrical assumptions on the distribution of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda_1^s(\alpha) \to 0$ , as  $\alpha = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \to 0$ .

[CP] E.C., I. Peral, JFA, 2003.

Lemma 2.  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \leq C\lambda_1^s(\alpha)$ .

Following [CP, Lemma 4.1] we have the next result.

Lemma 1. Under certain geometrical assumptions on the distribution of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda_1^s(\alpha) \to 0$ , as  $\alpha = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \to 0$ .

[CP] E.C., I. Peral, JFA, 2003.

Lemma 2.  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \leq C\lambda_1^s(\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Under some geometrical assumptions, the Sobolev constant  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  is attained.

Following [CP, Lemma 4.1] we have the next result.

Lemma 1. Under certain geometrical assumptions on the distribution of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}}$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda_1^s(\alpha) \to 0$ , as  $\alpha = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \to 0$ .

[CP] E.C., I. Peral, JFA, 2003.

Lemma 2.  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \leq C\lambda_1^s(\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Under some geometrical assumptions, the Sobolev constant  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  is attained.

The proof follows by using Theorem 1 and Lemmas 1-2 jointly because  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  is as small as we want provided  $\alpha \to 0$ , proving that  $S(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) < 2^{-\frac{2s}{N}} \kappa_s S(s, N)$ .

Remember the main problem

$$(P_{\lambda}) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s} u = \lambda u + u^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}}, \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ B(u) = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $N > 2s, \frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ .

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  and  $N \ge 4s$ . Then problem  $(P_{\lambda})$ :

- 1. has no solution for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 2. has solution for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 3. under the some geometrical assumptions, has solution for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  sufficiently small.

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  and  $N \ge 4s$ . Then problem  $(P_{\lambda})$ :

- 1. has no solution for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 2. has solution for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 3. under the some geometrical assumptions, has solution for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  sufficiently small.
- 1 can be easily proved by using the first eigenfunction as a test function.

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  and  $N \ge 4s$ . Then problem  $(P_{\lambda})$ :

- 1. has no solution for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 2. has solution for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 3. under the some geometrical assumptions, has solution for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  sufficiently small.
- 1 can be easily proved by using the first eigenfunction as a test function.
- It is a seen already proved before because of the attainability of the Sobolev constant  $S(\Sigma_D)$ .

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  and  $N \ge 4s$ . Then problem  $(P_{\lambda})$ :

- 1. has no solution for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 2. has solution for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 3. under the some geometrical assumptions, has solution for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  sufficiently small.
- 1 can be easily proved by using the first eigenfunction as a test function.
- J has been already proved before because of the attainability of the Sobolev constant  $S(\Sigma_D)$ .

See [BN] for points 1, 2, with s = 1 and Dirichlet boundary data,

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  and  $N \ge 4s$ . Then problem  $(P_{\lambda})$ :

- 1. has no solution for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 2. has solution for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 3. under the some geometrical assumptions, has solution for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  sufficiently small.
- 1 can be easily proved by using the first eigenfunction as a test function.
- J has been already proved before because of the attainability of the Sobolev constant  $S(\Sigma_D)$ .

See [BN] for points 1, 2, with s = 1 and Dirichlet boundary data,

[LPT] for point 3, with s = 1 and mixed D-N boundary data, but different hypotheses,

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  and  $N \ge 4s$ . Then problem  $(P_{\lambda})$ :

- 1. has no solution for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 2. has solution for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 3. under the some geometrical assumptions, has solution for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  sufficiently small.
- 1 can be easily proved by using the first eigenfunction as a test function.
- Solution 3 has been already proved before because of the attainability of the Sobolev constant  $S(\Sigma_D)$ .

See [BN] for points 1, 2, with s = 1 and Dirichlet boundary data,

[LPT] for point 3, with s = 1 and mixed D-N boundary data, but different hypotheses,

[G] for the points 1, 2, with s = 1 and D-N boundary data,

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  and  $N \ge 4s$ . Then problem  $(P_{\lambda})$ :

- 1. has no solution for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 2. has solution for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 3. under the some geometrical assumptions, has solution for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  sufficiently small.
- 1 can be easily proved by using the first eigenfunction as a test function.
- Solution 3 has been already proved before because of the attainability of the Sobolev constant  $S(\Sigma_D)$ .

See [BN] for points 1, 2, with s = 1 and Dirichlet boundary data,

[LPT] for point 3, with s = 1 and mixed D-N boundary data, but different hypotheses,

[G] for the points 1, 2, with s = 1 and D-N boundary data,

[ACP] for point 3 in the case s = 1 with mixed D-N boundary data,

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  and  $N \ge 4s$ . Then problem  $(P_{\lambda})$ :

- 1. has no solution for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 2. has solution for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 3. under the some geometrical assumptions, has solution for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  sufficiently small.
- 1 can be easily proved by using the first eigenfunction as a test function.
- Image 3 has been already proved before because of the attainability of the Sobolev constant  $S(\Sigma_D)$ .

See [BN] for points 1, 2, with s = 1 and Dirichlet boundary data,

[LPT] for point 3, with s = 1 and mixed D-N boundary data, but different hypotheses,

[G] for the points 1, 2, with s = 1 and D-N boundary data,

[ACP] for point 3 in the case s = 1 with mixed D-N boundary data,

[CT] for points 1, 2, with  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and Dirichlet boundary data,

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  and  $N \ge 4s$ . Then problem  $(P_{\lambda})$ :

- 1. has no solution for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 2. has solution for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^s$ ,
- 3. under the some geometrical assumptions, has solution for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  sufficiently small.
- 1 can be easily proved by using the first eigenfunction as a test function.
- 3 has been already proved before because of the attainability of the Sobolev constant  $S(\Sigma_D)$ .

See [BN] for points 1, 2, with s = 1 and Dirichlet boundary data,

[LPT] for point 3, with s = 1 and mixed D-N boundary data, but different hypotheses,

[G] for the points 1, 2, with s = 1 and D-N boundary data,

[ACP] for point 3 in the case s = 1 with mixed D-N boundary data,

[CT] for points 1, 2, with  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and Dirichlet boundary data,

[BCdPS] for points 1, 2 in case  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  and Dirichlet boundary data.

# Variational approach: minimizers

To prove point 2 in Theorem 3, i.e., the existence of solution to  $(P_{\lambda})$ , for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^s$ , we consider the following quotient

$$Q_{\lambda}(w) = \frac{\|w\|_{X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})}^{2} - \lambda \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}}{\|u\|_{L^{2_{s}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}},$$

where  $w = E_s[u]$ , and we define

$$S_{\lambda}(\Omega) = \inf_{\substack{w \in X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}}^{s}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega})\\w \neq 0}} \{Q_{\lambda}(w)\},\$$

in order to find a minimizer.

# **Fractional elliptic problems, inverse fractional operator**

$$(P_{\alpha,\beta}) \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\alpha-\beta}u = \lambda(-\Delta)^{-\beta}u + |u|^{2^{*}_{\mu}-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

We prove existence or nonexistence of positive solutions depending on the parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , up to the critical value of the exponent p, i.e., for  $1 where <math>\mu := \alpha - \beta$  and  $2^*_{\mu} = \frac{2N}{N-2\mu}$  is the critical exponent of the Sobolev embedding.

# **Fractional elliptic problems, inverse fractional operator**

$$(P_{\alpha,\beta}) \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\alpha-\beta}u = \lambda(-\Delta)^{-\beta}u + |u|^{2^{*}_{\mu}-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

We prove existence or nonexistence of positive solutions depending on the parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , up to the critical value of the exponent p, i.e., for  $1 where <math>\mu := \alpha - \beta$  and  $2^*_{\mu} = \frac{2N}{N-2\mu}$  is the critical exponent of the Sobolev embedding.

**Theorem.** For every  $\gamma \in (0, \lambda_1^{\alpha})$ , there exists a positive solution for the problem  $(P_{\alpha,\beta})$  provided that  $N > 4\alpha - 2\beta$ .

# Thank you for the attention!