Rational interpolation and model order reduction for data-driven controller design

Pauline Kergus

Department of Automatic Control, Lund University 8th European Congress of Mathematics

21/06/2021

Intersections between modeling and control



Objectives:

- Stability
- Performances

```
Introduction

•00
```

Intersections between modeling and control



Objectives:

- Stability
- Performances



Intersections between modeling and control



Introduction	Application	Conclusion
○●○	0000000	O
Overview		

- Intersections between modeling and control
- Loewner Data-Driven Control (L-DDC)

2 Application to infinite dimensional systems

- Motivations
- Case study: the continuous crystallizer
- Data-driven control approach using the L-DDC framework

3 Conclusion

Application 0000000

Conclusion 0

Loewner Data-Driven Control (L-DDC)

Considered problem

Given data from the system P, design K such that the resulting closed-loop is as close as possible to the reference model M



PK*	_	M
$\overline{1 + PK^{\star}}$	_	171

Application 00000000 Conclusion 0

Loewner Data-Driven Control (L-DDC)

Considered problem

Given data from the system P, design K such that the resulting closed-loop is as close as possible to the reference model M



$$\frac{PK^{\star}}{1+PK^{\star}} = M$$

Approximate K*

Loewner interpolation

$$\forall i, \ K(i\omega_i) = K^{\star}(i\omega_i)$$

$$K^{\star}(i\omega_i) = \frac{M(i\omega_i)}{P(i\omega_i)(1 - M(i\omega_i))}$$

Application 0000000

Conclusion 0

Loewner Data-Driven Control (L-DDC)

Considered problem

Given data from the system P, design K such that the resulting closed-loop is as close as possible to the reference model M



Application 0000000

Conclusion 0

Loewner Data-Driven Control (L-DDC)

Considered problem

Given data from the system P, design K such that the resulting closed-loop is as close as possible to the reference model M



Application to infinite dimensional systems

Introduction

• Intersections between modeling and control

• Loewner Data-Driven Control (L-DDC)

2 Application to infinite dimensional systems

- Motivations
- Case study: the continuous crystallizer
- Data-driven control approach using the L-DDC framework

3 Conclusion

Introduction	
000	



¹Robust control of infinite dimensional systems: frequency-domain methods, Foias, Ozbya, Tannenbuam, 1969

Introduction	
000	



¹ Robust control of infinite dimensional systems: frequency-domain methods, Foias, Ozbya, Tannenbuam, 1969
² Control of systems governed by partial differential equations, Morris, Levine, The control theory handbook, 2010.

Introduction	
000	



¹ Robust control of infinite dimensional systems: frequency-domain methods, Foias, Ozbya, Tannenbuam, 1969
² Control of systems governed by partial differential equations, Morris, Levine, The control theory handbook, 2010.

Introduction		
000		



Application

¹Robust control of infinite dimensional systems: frequency-domain methods, Foias, Ozbya, Tannenbuam, 1969
²Control of systems governed by partial differential equations, Morris, Levine, The control theory handbook, 2010.

Introd	uction
000	



- Model Order Reduction is essential
- and it can also be used for data-driven control.

¹Robust control of infinite dimensional systems: frequency-domain methods, Foias, Ozbya, Tannenbuam, 1969
 ²Control of systems governed by partial differential equations, Morris, Levine, The control theory handbook, 2010.
 ³From reference model selection to controller validation: Application to Loewner Data-Driven Control, Kergus, Olivi, Poussot-Vassal, Demourant, IEEE Control Systems Letters, 2019.

Case study: the continuous crystallizer



• Goal: stabilize the plant around $c_{ss} = 4.09 mol/L$

2. \mathcal{H}_{∞} -Control of a continuous crystallizer, Vollmer, Raisch, Control Engineering Practice, 2001.

3. Structured H_{∞} -control of infinite dimensional systems, Apkarian, Noll, International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control, 2018.

^{1.} A mathematical model for continuous crystallization, Rachah, Noll, Espitalier, Baillon, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2016.

Case study: the continuous crystallizer



- Goal: stabilize the plant around $c_{ss} = 4.09 mol/L$
- Unstable system and sustained oscillations

^{1.} A mathematical model for continuous crystallization, Rachah, Noll, Espitalier, Baillon, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2016.

^{2.} \mathcal{H}_{∞} -Control of a continuous crystallizer, Vollmer, Raisch, Control Engineering Practice, 2001.

^{3.} Structured \mathcal{H}_{∞} -control of infinite dimensional systems, Apkarian, Noll, International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control, 2018.

Case study: the continuous crystallizer



- Goal: stabilize the plant around $c_{ss} = 4.09 mol/L$
- Unstable system and sustained oscillations
- Linearization of the PDEs around c_{ss}

$$P(s) = \frac{\Delta c(s)}{\Delta c_f(s)} = \frac{p_{12}(s)}{p_{13}(s) + q_{12}(s)e^{-sk_f} + r_{12}(s)e^{-sk_p}}$$

1. A mathematical model for continuous crystallization, Rachah, Noll, Espitalier, Baillon, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2016.

2. \mathcal{H}_{∞} -Control of a continuous crystallizer, Vollmer, Raisch, Control Engineering Practice, 2001.

3. Structured H_{∞} -control of infinite dimensional systems, Apkarian, Noll, International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control, 2018.

Case study: the continuous crystallizer



- Goal: stabilize the plant around $c_{ss} = 4.09 mol/L$
- Unstable system and sustained oscillations
- Linearization of the PDEs around c_{ss}

$$P(s) = \frac{\Delta c(s)}{\Delta c_f(s)} = \frac{p_{12}(s)}{p_{13}(s) + q_{12}(s)e^{-sk_f} + r_{12}(s)e^{-sk_p}}$$

 \rightarrow Frequency-domain data easily accessible $\mathit{N}=500$ frequencies, logspaced between 10^{-3} and 1 rad.s^{-1}

^{1.} A mathematical model for continuous crystallization, Rachah, Noll, Espitalier, Baillon, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2016.

^{2.} \mathcal{H}_{∞} -Control of a continuous crystallizer, Vollmer, Raisch, Control Engineering Practice, 2001.

^{3.} Structured \mathcal{H}_{∞} -control of infinite dimensional systems, Apkarian, Noll, International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control, 2018.

L-DDC Step 1: Building a reference model



Achievable performance of multivariable systems with unstable zeros and poles, Havre, Skogestad, International Journal of Control, 2001.



Determine the system's nature: stable/unstable, NMP or not





Model-free closed-loop stability analysis: A linear functional approach, Cooman, Seyfert, Olivi, Chevillard, Baratchart, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 2018.



Determine the system's nature: stable/unstable, NMP or not



Model-free closed-loop stability analysis: A linear functional approach, Cooman, Seyfert, Olivi, Chevillard, Baratchart, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 2018.



Determine the system's nature: stable/unstable, NMP or not
 If any, estimate the instabilities of the system

$$P(\iota\omega) = P_s(\iota\omega) + P_{as}(\iota\omega)$$
$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2^{\perp}$$

Use P_{as} for Principal Hankel Component analysis





Estimating unstable poles in simulations of microwave circuits, Cooman, Seyfert, Amari, International Microwave Symposium, 2018.



Determine the system's nature: stable/unstable, NMP or not
 If any, estimate the instabilities of the system

Estimated RHP poles	$1.07 imes 10^{-4} \pm 0.852 imes 10^{-2} \jmath$
RHP poles (direct search)	$0.99 imes 10^{-4} \pm 0.89 imes 10^{-2} \jmath$



- Determine the system's nature: stable/unstable, NMP or not
- 2 If any, estimate the instabilities of the system
- 3 Build an achievable reference model

$$M_{\textit{init}}(s) = rac{1}{1+ au s}, \; au = 1s$$



- Determine the system's nature: stable/unstable, NMP or not
- 2 If any, estimate the instabilities of the system
- Build an achievable reference model

$$egin{aligned} M_{init}(s) &= rac{1}{1+ au s}, \ au = 1s \ & \ \hline M &= 1-(1-M_{init})B_p \ & \ \hline B_p(s) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n_p} rac{s-p_j}{s+p_j} & orall j = 1\dots n_p, \ B_p(p_j) = 0 \ & \ orall \omega, \ |B_p(j\omega)| = 1 \end{aligned}$$

LDDC Step 2: Controller identification and reduction



Objective: obtain a rational model K = (E, A, B, C, D) such that:

$$\forall i = 1 \dots N, \mathsf{K}(\imath \omega_i) = \mathsf{K}^{\star}(\imath \omega_i) = \frac{\mathsf{M}(\jmath \omega_i)}{\mathsf{P}(\jmath \omega_i)(1 - \mathsf{M}(\jmath \omega_i))}.$$

A tutorial introduction to the Loewner framework for model reduction, Antoulas, Lefteriu, Ionita, Benner, Cohen, Model Reduction and Approximation: Theory and Algorithms, 2017.

LDDC Step 2: Controller identification and reduction



Objective: obtain a rational model K = (E, A, B, C, D) such that:

$$\forall i = 1 \dots N, \mathsf{K}(\imath \omega_i) = \mathsf{K}^*(\imath \omega_i) = \frac{M(\jmath \omega_i)}{P(\jmath \omega_i)(1 - M(\jmath \omega_i))}$$



A tutorial introduction to the Loewner framework for model reduction, Antoulas, Lefteriu, Ionita, Benner, Cohen, Model Reduction and Approximation: Theory and Algorithms, 2017.



LDDC Step 3: Closed-loop stability analysis









Application of the small-gain theorem

The closed-loop is well-posed and internally stable for all stable $\Delta = K - K^*$ such that $\|\Delta\|_{\infty} \leq \beta$ if and only if $\|(1 - M)P\|_{\infty} < \frac{1}{\beta}$

 \rightarrow Limiting the controller modelling error allows to ensure closed-loop internal stability!

Data-driven controller validation, Van Heusden, Karimi, Bonvin, IFAC Proceedings, 2009.



Application of the small-gain theorem

The closed-loop is well-posed and internally stable for all stable $\Delta = K - K^*$ such that $\|\Delta\|_{\infty} \leq \beta$ if and only if $\|(1 - M)P\|_{\infty} < \frac{1}{\beta}$

 \rightarrow Limiting the controller modelling error allows to ensure closed-loop internal stability!



1. Model-free closed-loop stability analysis: A linear functional approach, Cooman, Seyfert, Olivi, Chevillard, Baratchart, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 2018



 Model-free closed-loop stability analysis: A linear functional approach, Cooman, Seyfert, Olivi, Chevillard, Baratchart, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 2018
 Interpolation-based infinite dimensional model control design and stability analysis, Poussot-Vassal, Kergus, Vuillemin, chapter to appear.



1. Model-free closed-loop stability analysis: A linear functional approach, Cooman, Seyfert, Olivi, Chevillard, Baratchart, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 2018

2. Interpolation-based infinite dimensional model control design and stability analysis, Poussot-Vassal, Kergus, Vuillemin, chapter to appear.

3. On the closest stable descriptor system in the respective spaces \mathcal{RH}_2 and \mathcal{RH}_∞ , Köhler, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 2014.



1. Model-free closed-loop stability analysis: A linear functional approach, Cooman, Seyfert, Olivi, Chevillard, Baratchart, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 2018

2. Interpolation-based infinite dimensional model control design and stability analysis, Poussot-Vassal, Kergus, Vuillemin, chapter to appear.

3. On the closest stable descriptor system in the respective spaces \mathcal{RH}_2 and \mathcal{RH}_∞ , Köhler, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 2014.

Introduction	Application	Conclusion
000	0000000	0

Results





Closed-loop transfer functions.

Time-domain simulation.

Introduction	Application	Conclusion
000	0000000	O
Results		





Closed-loop transfer functions.

Frequency (rad/s)

Time-domain simulation.

\Rightarrow Impact of the complexity-accuracy trade-off





Closed-loop transfer functions.

Time-domain simulation.

- ⇒ Impact of the complexity-accuracy trade-off
 - Comparison with a robust PID¹

^{1.} Advanced PID Control, Åström, Hägglund, 2006







Closed-loop transfer functions.

Time-domain simulation.

- ⇒ Impact of the **complexity-accuracy trade-off**
 - Comparison with a robust PID¹
 - Use the closed-loop obtained with the PID as new reference model
- \Rightarrow Impact of the choice of the **specifications**

1. Advanced PID Control, Åström, Hägglund, 2006

Introduction	Application	Conclusion
000	0000000	•
Conclusion		



The Loewner framework can be used as a central tool for the control of infinite dimensional transfer functions.

Introduction	Application	Conclusion
000	0000000	•
Conclusion		



The Loewner framework can be used as a central tool for the control of infinite dimensional transfer functions.

	Model-based design	L-DDC
Method	more steps	direct
Controller structure	fixed order/poles	linear
Specifications	flexible	not flexible
	(robust)	(only stability)
Stability guarantees	for P _r	conservative
		or not embedded

Introduction	Application	Conclusion
000	0000000	•
Conclusion		



The Loewner framework can be used as a central tool for the control of infinite dimensional transfer functions.

Extension to other types of systems?

	Model-based design	L-DDC
Method	more steps	direct
Controller structure	fixed order/poles	linear
Specifications	flexible	not flexible
	(robust)	(only stability)
Stability guarantees	for P _r	conservative
		or not embedded